

Ventas, Inc.

GRESB Real Estate Assessment 2021

DATE: 16 Sep 2021 3:01:40pm Thu UTC SUBMITTED: 16 Sep 2021 3:00:56pm Thu UTC

Table of Contents

| ASPECT CHECKLIST Aspect Checklist | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| · | 4 |
| ENTITY AND REPORTING CHARACTERISTICS | |
| Entity Characteristics Reporting Characteristics | |
| LEADERSHIP | |
| ESG Commitments and Objectives | |
| POLICIES | |
| ESG Policies | 13 |
| REPORTING | |
| ESG Disclosure ESG Incident Monitoring | |
| RISK MANAGEMENT | |
| Risk Management | 19 |
| Risk Assessments Climate-related Risk Management | |
| STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT | |
| Employees Suppliers. | |
| REPORTING CHARACTERISTICS | |
| Reporting Characteristics | 3 |
| RISK ASSESSMENT | |
| Risk Assessments Efficiency Measures | |
| TARGETS | |
| Targets | 3' |
| TENANTS AND COMMUNITY | |
| Tenants/Occupiers Community | |
| ENERGY ENERGY | |
| Energy Consumption | 4 |
| GHG | |
| GHG Emissions | 5 |
| WATER | |
| Water Use | 5 |
| WASTE | |
| Waste Management | 6' |
| DATA MONITORING AND REVIEW Review, verification and assurance of ESG data | 75 |
| BUILDING CERTIFICATIONS | |
| Building Certifications. | 7 |
| DEV - REPORTING CHARACTERISTICS | |
| Reporting Characteristics | 79 |
| DEV - ESG REQUIREMENTS ESG Requirements | 81 |
| DEV - MATERIALS | |
| Materials | 8 |
| DEV - BUILDING CERTIFICATIONS | |
| Building Certifications | 8 |
| DEV - ENERGY CONSUMPTION | ^ |
| Energy | 8 |

| DEV - WATER USE Water Conservation | 88 |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|----|
| DEV - WASTE MANAGEMENT Waste Management | 89 |
| DEV - STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT Health, Safety & Well-being | 91 |
| NAREIT LEADER IN THE LIGHT Nareit Leader in the Light | 94 |

ASPECT CHECKLIST

| There are no errors or warnings for | or this assessment! Click "Con | tents" to resume the assessment. |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| J | | |

ENTITY AND REPORTING CHARACTERISTICS

Portfolio predominant property type (**): Healthcare

Entity Characteristics

RC5 ✓ Pre-filled from 2020

Nature of entity's business

The entity's core business:

Management of standing investments only (continue with Management and Performance Components)

Management of standing investments and development of new construction and major renovation projects (continue with Management, Performance, and Development Components)

O Development of new construction and major renovation projects (continue with Management and Development Components)

LEADERSHIP

ESG Commitments and Objectives

LE1

ESG leadership commitments

Has the entity made a public commitment to ESG leadership standards and/or principles?



Select all commitments included (multiple answers possible)

- Climate Action 100+
- Global Investor Coalition on Climate Change (including AIGCC, Ceres, IGCC, IIGCC)
- International Labour Organization (ILO) Standards
- Montreal Pledge
- OECD Guidelines for multinational enterprises
- PRI signatory
- RE 100
- Science Based Targets initiative
- Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD)
- UN Environment Programme Finance Initiative
- UN Global Compact
- UN Sustainable Development Goals
- WorldGBC's Net Zero Carbon Buildings Commitment
- $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ Other

Other selected. Please describe

CEO Action for Diversity and Inclusion, Bloomberg Gender Equality Index, UN Women's Empowerment Principles

Provide applicable hyperlink

Provide hyperlink

https://ir.ventasreit.com/press-releases/news-details/2018/Ventas-Commits-to-the-CEO-Action-for-Diversity--Inclusion/default.aspx

https://ir.ventasreit.com/press-releases/news-details/2018/Ventas-Commits-to-the-CEO-Action-for-Diversity--Inclusion/default.aspx

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

CEO Action for Diversity and Inclusion - see whole page

Provide hyperlink

<u>sciencebasedtargets.org/companies-taking-action#table</u>

https://sciencebasedtargets.org/companies-taking-action#table

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Search "Ventas" in search bar

Provide hyperlink

https://ir.ventasreit.com/press-releases/news-details/2020/Ventas-Becomes-First-SP-500-REIT-Signatory-to-the-United-Nations-Global-Compact/default.aspx

https://ir.ventasreit.com/press-releases/news-details/2020/Ventas-Becomes-First-SP-500-REIT-Signatory-to-the-United-Nations-Global-Compact/default.aspx Indicate where the relevant information can be found

UN Global Compact - see whole page

Provide hyperlink

https://ir.ventasreit.com/press-releases/news-details/2020/Ventas-Included-in-the-2020-Bloomberg-Gender-Equality-Index-for-the-First-Time/default.aspx

https://ir.ventasreit.com/press-releases/news-details/2020/Ventas-Included-in-the-2020-Bloomberg-Gender-Equality-Index-for-the-First-Time/default.aspx Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Bloomberg Gender Equality Index - see whole page

Provide hyperlink

https://www.ventasreit.com/sites/default/files/flipbooks/csr-2020/index.html

https://www.ventasreit.com/sites/default/files/flipbooks/csr-2020/index.html

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

UN Sustainable Development Goals - see page 15 of the CSR (p. 16 of PDF); our goals are aligned with the UN Sustainable Development Goals

Provide hyperlink

https://ir.ventasreit.com/press-releases/news-details/2020/Ventas-Becomes-First-SP-500-REIT-Signatory-to-the-United-Nations-Global-Compact/default.aspx

https://ir.ventasreit.com/press-releases/news-details/2020/Ventas-Becomes-First-SP-500-REIT-Signatory-to-the-United-Nations-Global-Compact/default.aspx Indicate where the relevant information can be found

UN Women's Empowerment Principles Signatory - see whole page

Entity & Reporting Characteristics > Entity Characteristics LE2 Max. score 1 ESG objectives Does the entity have specific ESG objectives? The objectives relate to (multiple answers possible) General sustainability Environment V Social Governance Health and well-being The objectives are Fully integrated into the overall business strategy Partially integrated into the overall business strategy Not integrated into the overall business strategy The objectives are Publicly available Provide applicable hyperlink Provide hyperlink https://ventasreit.com/about-ventas/corporate-responsibility https://ventasreit.com/about-ventas/corporate-responsibility Indicate where the relevant information can be found Environment, social, governance, general sustainability - see whole page Provide hyperlink https://ventasreit.com/corporate-responsibility/planet https://ventasreit.com/corporate-responsibility/planet Indicate where the relevant information can be found Environment and general sustainability - see whole page Provide hyperlink https://ventasreit.com/corporate-responsibility/people https://ventasreit.com/corporate-responsibility/people Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Social - see whole page

Provide hyperlink

https://www.ventasreit.com/careers

https://www.ventasreit.com/careers

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Health and Well-Being - see Compensation and Benefits section

Provide hyperlink

tasreit.com/about-ventas/corporate-responsibility/corporate-governance

https://ventasreit.com/about-ventas/corporate-responsibility/corporate-governance

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Governance - see whole page

Not publicly available

Communicate the objectives and explain how they are integrated into the overall business strategy (maximum 250 words)

Ventas believes a strong, independent Board, commitment to sustainability, and socially responsible practices are essential to delivering shareholder value. We are committed to maintaining corporate governance practices that are in the best interests of stockholders. Our practices and policies promote fairness, alignment, accountability of management, transparency, risk management and delivery of consistent, superior returns.

We follow many best governance practices; our dedication to our stockholders goes beyond rules-based compliance. Our approach is embedded in our culture; it is also borne out by our performance, employee relationships, investors, business partners, and external recognition.

Ventas's commitment to sustainability is a key corporate value. As a leading owner of healthcare real estate, we support and apply measurable sustainability practices and standards. Sustainability practices are embedded in our acquisitions, asset management and property management processes. These practices create value for our shareholders through operating efficiencies, while preserving the planet. Sustainability provides opportunities to invest in our portfolio, improve our emissions footprint, reduce consumption, improve operating metrics, and expand our ENERGY STAR and LEED certifications.

Ventas has reduction targets for energy, GHG emissions (approved by SBTi), water, and waste; and progress is measured annually. Ventas seeks to maintain and improve our leadership position in ESG disclosures, performance, and reduce risks from bribery and corruption.

Charitable giving is an important value; we believe we have a responsibility to improve the lives of others. Through the Ventas Charitable Foundation, we contribute to local and national organizations that are important to our employees and customers.

O No

LEADERSHIP

ESG Decision Making

LE3 O Max. score 2 Individual responsible for ESG and/or climate-related objectives

Does the entity have one or more persons responsible for implementing ESG and/or climate-related objectives?



ESG

Select the persons responsible (multiple answers possible)

Dedicated employee(s) for whom ESG is the core responsibility

Provide the details for the most senior of these employees

Name

Kelly Meissner

Job title

Director, Sustainability

Employee(s) for whom ESG is among their responsibilities

Provide the details for the most senior of these employees

Name

John Cobb

Job title

Executive Vice President and Chief Investment Officer

External consultants/manager

Name of the main contact

Ryan Nelson

Job title

CEO, Goby

- Investment partners (co-investors/JV partners)
- Climate-related risks and opportunities

Select the persons responsible (multiple answers possible)

- Dedicated employee(s) for whom climate-related issues are core responsibilities
- Employee(s) for whom climate-related issues are among their responsibilities

Provide the details for the most senior of these employees

Name

Bree Williams

Job title

Director, Corporate Risk

O C-suite level staff

| | Investment Committee Fund/portfolio managers Other | |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Des | ibe the process of informing the most senior decision-maker on the ESG performance of the entity (maximum 250 words) | |
| Co ES inc | most senior decision maker on sustainability performance is Ms. Debra A. Cafaro, Chairman and CEO of Ventas. Ms. Cafaro is a member of our E mittee, which meets at least quarterly. In addition, Ms. Cafaro receives regular email updates from the Director of Sustainability on Ventas sustainitiatives. Ms. Cafaro is also consulted on a regular basis via ad hoc emails and discussions for guidance on sustainability memorandums. Spec de, for example: performance of any new sustainability projects or initiatives within the Ventas portfolio, discussions of performance against outerm environmental reduction targets, and ESG impact of investments and divestments. | ainability and ific topics |
| O No | | |
| LE6 | | Max. score 2 |
| ersonn | ESG performance targets | |
| oes the | ntity include ESG factors in the annual performance targets of personnel? | |
| Yes | | |
| Doe | performance on these targets have predetermined consequences? | |
| 0 | /es | |
| | ✓ Financial consequences | |
| | Select the personnel to whom these factors apply (multiple answers possible): | |
| | Board of Directors C-suite level staff Investment Committee Fund/portfolio managers Asset managers ESG portfolio manager Investment analysts Dedicated staff on ESG issues External managers or service providers Investor relations Other Other | |
| | SVP, Building & Engineering | |
| | Select the personnel to whom these factors apply (multiple answers possible): Board of Directors C-suite level staff Investment Committee Fund/portfolio managers Asset managers ESG portfolio manager Investment analysts Dedicated staff on ESG issues External managers or service providers Investor relations Other Other Other selected. Please describe SVP, Building & Engineering | |
| 0 | No | |
| Pro | de applicable evidence | |
| | DMS Alignment Ventas GRESB 2020.pdf te where in the evidence the relevant information can be found | |
| Ple | se see section 3 | |
| | Show investors | |

Entity & Reporting Characteristics > Entity Characteristics

| ESG Goals SVP Building & Engineering Redacted.pdf Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Whole document |
| Show investors |
| ESG Team Goals 2020.xlsx Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found |
| Whole document |
| Show investors |
| Everyday Outperformance At a Glance for Employees.pdf Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found |
| Whole document |
| Show investors |
| LE6 Documentation Table of Contents and Description of Processes and Policies.docx Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found |
| Please refer to this document for additional information on where GRESB evidence requirements are met within the other documents uploaded here, as well as official explanations of processes and policies at Ventas during the 2020 reporting period. |
| Show investors |
| Ventas redacted compensation statement.PNG Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found |
| Whole document |
| Show investors |
| Ventas Spot Awards - Employee Guide.pdf Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found |
| Whole document |
| Show investors |
| Provide hyperlink https://www.ventasreit.com/sites/default/files/pdf/GRESB 2020 Report.pdf |
| https://www.ventasreit.com/sites/default/files/pdf/GRESB_2020_Report.pdf |
| Indicate where the relevant information can be found |
| Please see p. 37 (38 of PDF) |
| Provide hyperlink https://d18rn0p25nwr6d.cloudfront.net/CIK-0000740260/53b5ccf5-1566-4e03-8bbf-6863f576bdfd.pdf |

https://d18rn0p25nwr6d.cloudfront.net/CIK-0000740260/53b5ccf5-1566-4e03-8bbf-6863f576bdfd.pdf

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Please see p. 53-54 (57-58 of PDF), p. 59-60 (63-64 of PDF), and p. 47 (51 of PDF)

O No

POLICIES

ESG Policies

Policy on environmental issues

Does the entity have a policy/policies on environmental issues?

Ye

Select all environmental issues included (multiple answers possible)

- Biodiversity and habitat
- Climate/climate change adaptation
- Energy consumption
- Greenhouse gas emissions
- Indoor environmental quality
- Material sourcing
- ✓ Pollution prevention
- Renewable energy
- Resilience to catastrophe/disaster
- Sustainable procurement
- ✓ Waste management
- Water consumption
- Other

Provide applicable evidence

Provide hyperlink

https://www.ventasreit.com/sites/default/files/flipbooks/csr-2020/index.html#p=1

https://www.ventasreit.com/sites/default/files/flipbooks/csr-2020/index.html#p=1

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Energy, Emissions, Water, Waste: See ESG Goals section

Resilience, Climate/climate change adaptation - see ESG Goals section (climate change goals) and Climate Change section

Pollution prevention: see Responsible Investment goal in ESG Goals section - Ventas has a goal of achieving LEED Silver certification or better on 100% of our announced Research & Innovation development pipeline; developing in line with LEED standard helps prevent pollution, as we each project is required to meet a prerequisite on construction pollution prevention per the guidelines (see https://www.usgbc.org/credits/core-shell-healthcare-new-construction-retail-nc-schools/v2009/ssp1)

Biodiversity and habitat: see Investing in our Portfolio section (p. 27) - Ventas is committed to environmentally and socially responsible development in collaboration with our

operating partners, including the pursuit of LEED certification, developing urban brownfields and infill sites, and supporting urban revitalization through our developments." Brownfield development (development on sites previously used for industrial or commercial purposes) prevents loss of biodiversity and habitat by making use of previously developed land to avoid developing greenfields or untouched / undeveloped land that may contain wildlife (see also Responsible Development section of our website: https://www.ventasreit.com/corporate-responsibility/performance). We also pursue sustainable site credits noted in this article on developments when applicable: https://www.usgbc.org/articles/leed-credits-help-protect-wildlife.

Lillibridge Sustainability Policy.pdf

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

Sustainable Procurement, Material Sourcing, Indoor Air Quality - please see whole page

Show investors

O No

P02

O Max. score 1.5
✓ Pre-filled from 2020

Policy on social issues

Does the entity have a policy/policies on social issues?

Yes

Select all social issues included (multiple answers possible)

Child labor

Community development

Customer satisfaction

Employee engagement

Employee health & well-being

Employee remuneration

Forced or compulsory labor

Freedom of association

Health and safety: community

Health and safety: contractors

Health and safety: employees

Health and safety: tenants/customers

Human rights

Inclusion and diversity

Labor standards and working conditions

Social enterprise partnering

Stakeholder relations

Other

Provide applicable evidence

Provide hyperlink

https://ir.ventasreit.com/governance/Governance-Documents/

https://ir.ventasreit.com/governance/Governance-Documents/

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Child Labor - Vendor Code of Conduct Policy, p3; Global Code of Ethics and Business Conduct Policy, p.4 (general policy includes complying with all applicable laws, rules and regulations; in the United States, child labor is against the law, per the Department of Labor Fair Labor Standards Act)

Employee engagement - Human Rights Policy, p. 2

Employee health & well-being - Global Code of Ethics and Business Conduct Policy, p. 12

Forced or compulsory labor - Vendor Code of Conduct Policy, p4

Freedom of association - Vendor Code of Conduct Policy, p3

Health and safety: community - Human Rights Policy, p. 3

Health and safety: contractors - Vendor Code of Conduct Policy, p4; Human Rights Policy, p. 3

Health and safety: employees - Global Code of Ethics and Business Conduct Policy, p. 12

Health and safety: tenants/customers - Human Rights Policy, p. 3

Human rights - Global Code of Ethics and Business Conduct Policy, p. 12

Inclusion and diversity - Global Code of Ethics and Business Conduct Policy, p. 12; Human Rights Policy, p. 2

Labor standards and working conditions - Human Rights Policy, p. 2

Provide hyperlink

vw.ventasreit.com/corporate-responsibility/community-impact

https://www.ventasreit.com/corporate-responsibility/community-impact

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Community development - see Community & Civic Engagement section

Provide hyperlink

https://www.ventasreit.com/sites/default/files/flipbooks/csr-2020/index.html#p=1

https://www.ventasreit.com/sites/default/files/flipbooks/csr-2020/index.html#p=1

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Customer satisfaction - p. 15 (16 of PDF) - Tenant, resident, and operator satisfaction goals

Stakeholder relations - p. 17 (18 of PDF)

2020 Ventas Employee Handbook.pdf

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

Employee Remuneration - Section V Freedom of Association - Section II

Employee health & well-being - p. 10 (Drug-Free Workplace section); Benefits section

Show investors

Provide hyperlink

ventasreit.com/press-releases/news-details/2019/Ventas-to-Donate-9-Million-To-Support-Elementary-and-Middle-School-Education-in-Philadelphia/

https://ir.ventasreit.com/press-releases/news-details/2019/Ventas-to-Donate-9-Million-To-Support-Elementary-and-Middle-School-Education-in-Philadelphia/ default.aspx

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Social Enterprise Partnering - see whole page; note: per the 2020 GRESB Reference Guide, "policy" is defined as a commitment, direction or intention as formally adopted by the entity; this press release outlines Ventas's commitment to provide major funding for the design, construction and development of a new K-8 public school facility to house two Philadelphia neighborhood public schools, which will educate future generations of students who will go on to study, research and share their diversity of ideas and experiences in Philadelphia.

O No

P03

Policy on governance issues

Max. score 1.5
 ✓ Pre-filled from 2020

Does the entity have a policy/policies on governance issues?

Yes

Select all governance issues included (multiple answers possible)

Bribery and corruption

| ity & Reporting Characteristics > Entity Characteristics | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|
| ✓ Cybersecurity | |
| ✓ Data protection and privacy | |
| Executive compensation | |
| Fiduciary duty | |
| Y Fraud | |
| Political contributions | |
| Shareholder rights | |
| Other | |
| Provide applicable evidence | |
| Provide hyperlink https://ir.ventasreit.com/governance/Governance-Documents/ | |
| https://ir.ventasreit.com/governance/Governance-Documents/ | |
| Indicate where the relevant information can be found | _ |
| Bribery and corruption - Global Code of Ethics and Business Conduct Policy, p. 13; Global Anti-Corruption Policy, p. 2 Fraud - Global Code of Ethics and Business Conduct, p. 8 | |
| Provide hyperlink https://www.ventasreit.com/sites/default/files/pdf/2018 0523 Guidelines PoliticalContributionExpenditureAndActivity Final.pdf | |
| https://www.ventasreit.com/sites/default/files/pdf/2018 0523 Guidelines PoliticalContributionExpenditureAndActivity Final.pdf | |
| Indicate where the relevant information can be found | _ |
| Political contributions - whole document | |
| Ventas Information Security Guidelines.pdf Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found | |
| Cybersecurity - whole document Data protection and privacy - whole document | |
| Show investors | |
| VTR By-laws.pdf Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found | |
| Shareholder rights - p. 7-8, last paragraph and first paragraph (section C. (4) a and b) | |
| Show investors | |
| Gifts and Entertainment Guidelines.pdf Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found | |
| Bribery and Corruption - whole document | |
| Show investors | |

Provide hyperlink https://ir.ventasreit.com/governance/Governance-Documents/

https://ir.ventasreit.com/governance/Governance-Documents/

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Fiduciary duty - Guidelines on Governance document, items 2, 6, and 9 $\,$

Provide hyperlink https://d18rn0p25nwr6d.cloudfront.net/CIK-0000740260/53b5ccf5-1566-4e03-8bbf-6863f576bdfd.pdf

https://d18rn0p25nwr6d.cloudfront.net/CIK-0000740260/53b5ccf5-1566-4e03-8bbf-6863f576bdfd.pdf

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Executive Compensation - see Executive Compensation section Shareholder Rights - whole document

O No

REPORTING

ESG Disclosure

| RP1 | ⊙ Max. score 3.5 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| ESG reporting | |
| Does the entity disclose its ESG actions and/or performance? | |
| O Yes | |
| Please select all applicable options (multiple answers possible) | |
| Section in Annual Report | |
| Select the applicable reporting level | |
| Entity Investment manager Group Aligned with ■ | |
| Disclosure is third-party reviewed: | |
| Yes Externally checked Externally verified Externally assured | |
| O No | |
| Provide applicable evidence | |
| 2020_VTR_AnnualReport_vD.pdf Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found | |
| Section in Annual Report - see p. 9-11 (10-12 of PDF) | |
| Show investors | |
| MR1-MR4 Goby Third-Party Data Review Ventas.docx Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found | |
| Externally checked - see whole document | |
| Show investors | |
| ✓ Stand-alone sustainability report(s) | |
| Select the applicable reporting level | |
| Entity Investment manager Group Aligned with GRI Standards, 2016 | |
| | |
| Disclosure is third-party reviewed: | |
| Yes Externally checked Externally verified Externally assured using ISAE 3000 | |
| O No | |
| Provide applicable evidence | |
| Provide hyperlink https://www.ventasreit.com/sites/default/files/flipbooks/csr-2020/index.html#p=1 | |
| https://www.ventasreit.com/sites/default/files/flipbooks/csr-2020/index.html#p=1 | |
| Indicate where the relevant information can be found | |
| Stand-alone sustainability report - see whole document | |
| Provide hyperlink https://www.ventasreit.com/sites/default/files/pdf/VentasCSRAssurance2020.pdf | |

https://www.ventasreit.com/sites/default/files/pdf/VentasCSRAssurance2020.pdf

| Ir | ndicate where the relevant information can be found |
|------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Third-party assurance for stand-alone sustainability report - see whole document |
| <u>M</u> Ir | <u>1R1-MR4_Goby Third-Party Data Review_Ventas.docx</u> ndicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found |
| V | Whole document |
| | Show investors |
| <u> </u> | ntegrated Report Dedicated section on corporate website elect the applicable reporting level |
| P <u>h</u> | Entity Investment manager Group rovide hyperlink ttps://www.ventasreit.com/corporate-responsibility |
| | https://www.ventasreit.com/corporate-responsibility |
| | See whole page |
| A | Section in entity reporting to investors |
| D | isclosure is third-party reviewed: |
| | ⊙ Yes ○ No |
| Р | Provide applicable evidence |
| | 021_VTR_Proxy Statement.pdf ndicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found |
| | Section in entity reporting to investors - see p. 6-7 (10-11 of PDF), 12-14 (16-18 of PDF) |
| | Show investors |
| <u>M</u> Ir | IR1-MR4_Goby Third-Party Data Review_Ventas.docx Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found |
| | Third party review - see whole document |
| | Show investors |
| | Other |
| O No | |
| REPORTIN | ug ident Monitoring |
| RP2.1 | ✓ Pre-filled from 2020 |
| ESG incide | nt monitoring |
| Does the e | ntity have a process to monitor ESG-related controversies, misconduct, penalties, incidents, accidents, or breaches against the codes of conduct/ethics? |
| Yes | |
| The pr | rocess includes external communication of controversies, misconduct, penalties, incidents or accidents to: |
| ✓ C ✓ C ✓ E ✓ II | Clients/Customers Community/Public Contractors Employees nvestors/Shareholders Regulators/Government Special interest groups (NGOs, Trade Unions, etc) |
| | |

| ~ | Suppliers |
|--------------|---------------------------------|
| \checkmark | Other stakeholders |
| | Other selected. Please describe |

Board of Directors

Describe the process (maximum 250 words)

Ventas escalates any material ESG-related misconduct or incidents to its Board of Directors. After receiving guidance from the Board, Ventas would fulfill any SEC disclosure obligations, communicate any such misconduct or incidents to its employees and issue a press release to alert the public. Ventas's executives, investor relations and corporate communications personnel would make themselves available to respond to any inquiries from employees, investors and other stakeholders.

O No

RP2.2

ESG incident occurrences

Has the entity been involved in any ESG-related breaches that resulted in fines or penalties during the reporting year?

O Yes

O No

RISK MANAGEMENT

Risk Management

| RM1 | ⊙ Max. score 2 |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Environment | al Management System (EMS) |
| Does the ent | ty have an Environmental Management System (EMS)? |
| O The The Provide | |
| The evi | dence can be found on the last page of the uploaded PDF file |
| SI | now investors |
| | |
| O No | |
| RM2 | |
| Process to in | plement governance policies |
| Does the ent | ty have processes to implement governance policy/policies? |
| Yes | |
| Co De Dis Err Inv Re Tra | istle-blower mechanism |
| No Not app | |
| Risk Ass | essments |
| RM3.1 | ⊙ Max. score 0.5 Score 2020: 0.5/0.5 ✔ Pre-filled from 2020 |
| Social risk as | ssessments |
| Has the entit | y performed social risk assessments within the last three years? |
| Yes | |
| Select a | ll issues included (multiple answers possible) |
| Col Col Cu Cu Em | Ild labor mmunity development ntroversies linked to social enterprise partnering stomer satisfaction uployee engagement uployee health & well-being reed or compulsory labor |

O No

Not applicable

Other

RISK MANAGEMENT

Climate-related Risk Management

RM5

Does the entity's strategy incorporate resilience to climate-related risks?



Yes

Describe the resilience of the organization's strategy

Ventas has an integrated, multi-disciplinary company-wide risk management process, which is managed through our Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) Committee. Climate change risks and opportunities are integrated into this process. The ERM Committee meets at least quarterly to review and update our Risk Heat Map. The Ventas Director of Sustainability and Director of Corporate Risk Management have day-to-day responsibility for identifying and assessing climate-related risks. These risks are monitored on an ongoing basis. As a long-term holder of real estate, Ventas considers risks up to 10 or more years into the future, as well as near term and medium term risks.

For transitional climate change-related risks and opportunities, the Ventas Director of Sustainability works with the Ventas ESG Steering Committee or the Ventas Management Capital Committee (if related to an investment opportunity) to develop a plan to mitigate the risk or capitalize on the opportunities. Working groups or task forces within the company would be formed as needed to implement the activities needed to mitigate or capitalize on the risks. The Director of Sustainability would work with the relevant groups across the Ventas organization to implement any measures approved by the ESG Steering Committee or Management Capital Committee.

Does the process of evaluating the resilience of the entity's strategy involve the use of scenario analysis?



Select the scenarios that are used (multiple answers possible)

| Sele | ct the scenarios that are used (multiple answers possibl |
|--------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| ~ | Transition scenarios |
| | CRREM 2C |
| | CRREM 1.5C |
| | IEA SDS |
| | IEA B2DS |
| | IEA NZE2050 |
| | IPR FPS |
| | NGFS Current Policies |
| | NGFS Nationally determined contributions |
| | NGFS Immediate 2C scenario with CDR |
| | NGFS Immediate 2C scenario with limited CDR |
| | NGFS Immediate 1.5C scenario with CDR |
| | NGFS Delayed 2C scenario with limited CDR |
| | NGFS Delayed 2C scenario with CDR |
| | NGFS Immediate 1.5C scenario with limited CDR |
| | ✓ SBTi |
| | ■ TPI |
| | Other |
| _ | |
| \checkmark | Physical scenarios |
| | RCP2.6 |
| | RCP4.5 |
| | RCP6.0 |

Other selected. Please describe

Disaster recovery testing for data center (more details below)

O No

RCP8.5
Other

O No

Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only)

According to GRESB, scenario analysis refers to the systematic use of scenarios in order to better understand the relevant impacts on an organization, and facilitate the creation of robust strategies under probable and potential future developments; and physical risks can be event-driven (acute) such as increased severity of extreme weather events. Ventas facilitates semi-annual disaster recovery testing to simulate the loss of our Chicago data center, which could happen in the event of a severe weather event. We consider this to be a physical scenario risk simulation that we strategically address.

RM6.1

Transition risk identification

Does the entity have a systematic process for identifying transition risks that could have a material financial impact on the entity?

0

| Yes |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Select the elements covered in the risk identification process (multiple answers possible) |
| ✓ Policy and legal |
| Has the process identified any risks in this area? |
| O Yes |
| Select the risk(s) to which the entity is exposed (multiple answers possible) |
| Increasing price of GHG emissions Enhancing emissions-reporting obligations Mandates on and regulation of existing products and services Exposure to litigation Other |
| O No |
| ✓ Technology |
| Has the process identified any risks in this area? |
| Yes No |
| ✓ Market |
| Has the process identified any risks in this area? |
| Yes No |
| ✓ Reputation |
| Has the process identified any risks in this area? |
| O Yes |
| Select the risk(s) to which the entity is exposed (multiple answers possible) |
| Shifts in consumer preferences Stigmatization of sector Increased stakeholder concern or negative stakeholder feedback Other |
| O No |
| Provide applicable evidence (optional) |
| Provide hyperlink https://www.ventasreit.com/sites/default/files/pdf/CDP_2020_Report.pdf |
| https://www.ventasreit.com/sites/default/files/pdf/CDP_2020_Report.pdf |
| Indicate where the relevant information can be found |
| Additional detail on climate-related risks can be found in our 2020 CDP report (particularly question 2.3a) |

Describe the entity's processes for prioritizing transition risks

Ventas has an integrated, multi-disciplinary company-wide risk management process, which is managed through our Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) Committee. Climate change risks and opportunities are integrated into this process. ERM Committee Members include our CEO, SVP & Chief Information Officer, CFO, General Counsel, VP of Internal Audit, and In-house legal counsel representing Compliance. The ERM Committee is convened at least quarterly to review and update our Risk Heat Map. Existing risks are evaluated for changes to risk likelihood or impact, and mitigation strategies are updated as needed. Detailed overviews of each risk and mitigating strategies are included in the materials. New risks are discussed and evaluated for potential inclusion on the heat map. Results are discussed with the Ventas Board of Directors at quarterly board meetings as needed. The Ventas Director of Sustainability identifies and assesses climate change risks to Ventas on an ongoing (at least monthly) through the activities listed below:

- Participation in real-estate specific, sustainability and climate change related committees, boards, conferences, and vendor discussions
- Sustainability and climate change related discussions with development partners and operators/managers

- Partnership with third party experts in climate change
Any material updates to climate change risks faced by the company would be provided to the ERM Committee for review and discussion. The Risk Heat Map and mitigating activities would be updated as needed.

O No

Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only)

The following climate-related risks are relevant and always considered for our portfolio:

Policy and Legal - We engage in cross-departmental collaboration, in addition to engaging third-party consultants to ensure that requirements regarding legal climate-related risks are met. For example, Ventas evaluates the risk for environmental litigation claims for all new property acquisitions by conducting a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment. If issues are identified, they are mitigated or the property is not acquired.

Technology - We rely on technology to understand and report on the energy performance of our portfolio, implement energy efficiency measures, and identify areas for improvement.

Market - We engage in cross-departmental collaboration, in addition to engaging third-party consultants to ensure that we are considering current and potential future climate-related market risks, and are in a position to avoid them and/or create an opportunity for improving our business. For example, current and prospective tenants and residents in Ventas properties may increasingly demand low-carbon real estate options. To avoid losing these tenants, we have a strong commitment to green building certifications and energy ratings.

Reputation - Ventas employees may increasingly demand to work for a company that has a track record of understanding and mitigating its climate change impacts, so we engage in cross-departmental collaboration, in addition to engaging third-party consultants to ensure that we remain on track or ahead of peers on ESG performance. Institutional equity and debt investors are the primary source of capital for Ventas.

RM6.2

Transition risk impact assessment

Does the entity have a systematic process to assess the material financial impact of transition risks on the business and/or financial planning of the entity?

Yes

Select the elements covered in the impact assessment process (multiple answers possible)

Policy and legal

Has the process concluded that there were any material impacts to the entity in this area?

O Yes

No

Technology

Market

Reputation

Has the process concluded that there were any material impacts to the entity in this area?

Yes

No

Provide applicable evidence (optional)

Provide hyperlink

https://www.ventasreit.com/sites/default/files/pdf/CDP_2020_Report.pdf

https://www.ventasreit.com/sites/default/files/pdf/CDP_2020_Report.pdf

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Additional detail on climate-related risks can be found in our 2020 CDP report (particularly question 2.3a)

Describe how the entity's processes for identifying, assessing, and managing transition risks are integrated into its overall risk management

Ventas has an integrated, multi-disciplinary company-wide risk management process, which is managed through our Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) Committee. Climate change risks and opportunities are integrated into this process. The ERM Committee meets at least quarterly to review and update our Risk Heat Map. The Ventas Director of Sustainability and Director of Corporate Risk Management have day-to-day responsibility for identifying and assessing climate-related risks. These risks are monitored on an ongoing basis. As a long-term holder of real estate, Ventas considers risks up to 10 or more years into the future, as well as near term and medium term risks. We calculate the potential material impact of these risks for our annual CDP response (attached above), though no material financial impacts have been experienced to date.

O N

Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only)

RM6.3

Physical risk identification

Does the entity have a systematic process for identifying physical risks that could have a material financial impact on the entity?

O Yes

Select the elements covered in the risk identification process (multiple answers possible)

Acute hazards

Has the process identified any acute hazards to which the entity is exposed?

Yes

Indicate to what factor(s) the entity is exposed (multiple answers possible)

Extratropical storm

Flash flood

✓ Hail

✓ River flood

Storm surge

▼ Tropical cyclone

Other

O No

Chronic stressors

Has the process identified any chronic stressors to which the entity is exposed?

O Yes

No

Provide applicable evidence (optional)

Provide hyperlink

https://www.ventasreit.com/sites/default/files/pdf/CDP_2020_Report.pdf

https://www.ventasreit.com/sites/default/files/pdf/CDP_2020_Report.pdf

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Additional detail on climate-related risks can be found in our 2020 CDP report (particularly question 2.3a)

Describe the entity's processes of prioritizing physical risks

Ventas has an integrated, multi-disciplinary company-wide risk management process, which is managed through our Enterprise Risk Management (ERM)
Committee. Climate change risks and opportunities are integrated into this process. ERM Committee Members include our CEO, SVP & Chief Information Officer,
CFO, General Counsel, VP of Internal Audit, and In-house legal counsel representing Compliance. The ERM Committee is convened at least quarterly to review and
update our Risk Heat Map. Existing risks are evaluated for changes to risk likelihood or impact, and mitigation strategies are updated as needed. Detailed overviews
of each risk and mitigating strategies are included in the materials. New risks are discussed and evaluated for potential inclusion on the heat map. Results are
discussed with the Ventas Board of Directors at quarterly board meetings as needed. The Ventas Director of Sustainability identifies and assesses climate change
risks to Ventas on an ongoing (at least monthly) through the activities listed below:

- Participation in real-estate specific, sustainability and climate change related committees, boards, conferences, and vendor discussions
- Sustainability and climate change related discussions with development partners and operators/managers
- Partnership with third party experts in climate change

Any material updates to climate change risks faced by the company would be provided to the ERM Committee for review and discussion. The Risk Heat Map and mitigating activities would be updated as needed.

O No

Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only)

RM6.4

Physical risk impact assessment

Does the entity have a systematic process for the assessment of material financial impact from physical climate risks on the business and/or financial planning of the entity?

Yes

Select the elements covered in the impact assessment process (multiple answers possible)

Direct impacts

✓ Indirect impacts

Has the process concluded that there are material impacts to the entity?

Ye

Indicate which impacts are deemed material to the entity (multiple answers possible)

Increased insurance premiums and potential for reduced availability of insurance on assets in "high-risk" locations

Increased operating costs

Reduced revenue and higher costs from negative impacts on workforce

Reduced revenue from decreased production capacity

Reduced revenues from lower sales/output

Write-offs and early retirement of existing assets

Other

O No

Provide applicable evidence (optional)

Provide hyperlink

https://www.ventasreit.com/sites/default/files/pdf/CDP_2020_Report.pdf

https://www.ventasreit.com/sites/default/files/pdf/CDP_2020_Report.pdf

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Additional detail on climate-related risks can be found in our 2020 CDP report (particularly question 2.3a)

Describe how the entity's processes for identifying, assessing, and managing physical risks are integrated into its overall risk management

Ventas has an integrated, multi-disciplinary company-wide risk management process, which is managed through our Enterprise Risk Management (ERM)
Committee. Climate change risks and opportunities are integrated into this process. The ERM Committee meets at least quarterly to review and update our Risk Heat
Map. The Ventas Director of Sustainability and Director of Corporate Risk Management have day-to-day responsibility for identifying and assessing climate-related
risks. These risks are monitored on an ongoing basis. As a long-term holder of real estate, Ventas considers risks up to 10 or more years into the future, as well as
near term and medium term risks.

O N

Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only)

Select all applicable options (multiple answers possible)

Planning and preparation for engagement

STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT

Employees

| SE | 1 | ⊙ Max. score 1 |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Emp | oloyee training | |
| Doe | s the entity provide training and development for employees? | |
| 0 | Yes Percentage of employees who received professional training during the reporting year 100 Percentage of employees who received ESG-specific training during the reporting year 100 | |
| | ESG-specific training focuses on (multiple answers possible): | |
| | Environmental issuesSocial issues | |
| | Governance issues | |
| 0 | No | |
| SE | 2.1 | Max. score 1 |
| Emp | oloyee satisfaction survey | |
| Has | the entity undertaken an employee satisfaction survey within the last three years? | |
| 0 | Yes | |
| | The survey is undertaken (multiple answers possible) | |
| | Internally By an independent third party Percentage of employees covered 97 Survey response rate 95 | |
| | The survey includes quantitative metrics | |
| | O Yes | |
| | Metrics include | |
| | Net Promoter Score Overall satisfaction score Other Other Selected. Please describe Our survey is quantitative in nature and includes scores on 16 categories such as Benefits and Leadership. | |
| | | |
| | No Provide applicable evidence | |
| | 2018 Employee Engagement Survey Results.pdf Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found | |
| | | |
| | All | |
| | Show investors | |
| 0 | No . | |
| - | | 0 |
| | 2.2 | Max. score 1 |
| - | oloyee engagement program | |
| | s the entity have a program in place to improve its employee satisfaction based on the outcomes of the survey referred to in SE2.1? | |
| 0 | Yes | |

HumidityIlluminationInclusive designIndoor air quality

✓ Noise control

Physical activity

Lighting controls and/or daylight

Physical and/or mental healthcare access

Paid maternity leave in excess of legally required minimum
 Paid paternity leave in excess of legally required minimum

Select all diversity metrics (multiple answers possible)

Age group distributionBoard tenureGender pay gap

Gender ratio

Percentage of personnel that identify as:

Women

36

Men

64

- International background
- Racial diversity
- Socioeconomic background
- Diversity of the organization's employees

Select all diversity metrics (multiple answers possible)

Age group distribution

Percentage of personnel that are:

Under 30 years old

11

Between 30 and 50 years old

52

Over 50 years old

37

- Gender pay gap
- Gender ratio

Percentage of personnel that are:

Women

48

Men

52

- International background
- Racial diversity
- Socioeconomic background

Provide additional context for the response (maximum 250 words)

Ventas is made up of a talented group of results-driven, experienced and dedicated professionals with an unwavering commitment to integrity, high-performance, adaptability and collaboration. We uphold equal opportunities for all and believe our organization is stronger and more effective with a diverse workforce and Board of Directors. To that end, we strive for gender balance (50|50 female to male) across our organization by seeking a gender-balanced pipeline of candidates and using a merit-based approach to hiring and advancement.

Provide applicable evidence

Provide hyperlink

https://www.ventasreit.com/careers

https://www.ventasreit.com/careers

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Employees - gender ratio - please see Diversity & Inclusion section

Provide hyperlink

https://www.ventasreit.com/about-ventas/board-of-directors

https://www.ventasreit.com/about-ventas/board-of-directors

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Governance bodies - age group distribution, board tenure, gender ratio - please see whole page

Provide hyperlink

https://ir.ventasreit.com/governance/Committee-Composition/default.aspx

https://ir.ventasreit.com/governance/Committee-Composition/default.aspx

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Governance bodies - age group distribution, board tenure, ethnic diversity, gender ratio - please see whole page

Provide hyperlink

https://www.ventasreit.com/corporate-responsibility/people

https://www.ventasreit.com/corporate-responsibility/people

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

| | Employees - age group distribution, racial diversity - please see Workforce Statistics section | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | SE5 Gender Pay Gap Bloomberg GEI 2021 final submission excerpt.pdf Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found | | | | |
| Employees - Gender pay gap; please see attached for an excerpt of our 2020 submittal to the Bloomberg Gender Equality Index, which we we (see https://www.bloomberg.com/press-releases/2021-01-28/ventas-included-in-2021-bloomberg-gender-equality-index); this is data as o monitored on an ongoing basis. | | | | | |
| | Show investors | | | | |
| 0 | No | | | | |
| | KEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT Opliers | | | | |
| SE | 5 | | | | |
| Supp | oly chain engagement program | | | | |
| | s the entity include ESG-specific requirements in its procurement processes? | | | | |
| | Yes | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Select elements of the supply chain engagement program (multiple answers possible) | | | | |
| | ✓ Developing or applying ESG policies ✓ Planning and preparation for engagement | | | | |
| | Development of action plan | | | | |
| | Implementation of engagement plan Training | | | | |
| | Program review and evaluation | | | | |
| | Feedback sessions with stakeholders | | | | |
| | Other | | | | |
| | Select all topics included (multiple answers possible) | | | | |
| | ✓ Business ethics ✓ Child labor ✓ Environmental process standards ✓ Environmental product standards ✓ Health and safety: employees Health and well-being ✓ Human health-based product standards ✓ Human rights ✓ Labor standards and working conditions Other | | | | |
| | Select the external parties to whom the requirements apply (multiple answers possible) | | | | |
| | Contractors | | | | |
| | ✓ Suppliers | | | | |
| | Supply chain (beyond 1 tier suppliers and contractors) Other | | | | |
| | Other | | | | |
| 0 | No | | | | |
| SE7 | 7.1 | O Max. score 1 Score 2020: 1/1 ✓ Pre-filled from 2020 | | | |
| Moni | itoring property/asset managers | | | | |
| | s the entity monitor property/asset managers' compliance with the ESG-specific requirements in place for | this entity? | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Yes | | | | |
| | The entity monitors compliance of: | | | | |
| | Internal property/asset managers External property/asset managers Both internal and external property/asset managers | | | | |
| | Select all methods used (multiple answers possible) | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Checks performed by independent third party Property/asset manager ESG training Property/asset manager self-assessments | | | | |

Yes

- Equitable & rights compatible
- ✓ Improvement based
- Legitimate & safe
- Predictable
- Prohibitive against retaliation
- Transparent
- Other

Which stakeholders does the process apply to? (multiple answers possible)

- Contractors
- Suppliers
- Supply chain (beyond tier 1 suppliers and contractors)
- ✓ Clients/Customers
- Community/Public
- Employees
- Investors/Shareholders
- Regulators/Government
- Special interest groups (NGO's, Trade Unions, etc)
- Other
- O No

REPORTING CHARACTERISTICS

Reporting Characteristics

The entity's standing investments portfolio during the reporting year

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

| Property type | Number of Assets | Floor Area (sq. ft.) | % GAV |
|----------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|-------|
| Healthcare: Healthcare Center | 66 | 7691972.0 | 7.64 |
| Healthcare: Senior Homes | 762 | 65562409.74 | 66.22 |
| Office: Corporate: Low-Rise Office | 4 | 671246.0 | 0.84 |
| Office: Medical Office | 344 | 19690861.0 | 19.2 |
| Other | 1 | 215044.0 | 0.02 |
| Technology/Science: Laboratory/Life Sciences | 26 | 4770716.0 | 6.08 |
| Total | 1203 | 98602248.74 | 100.0 |

Note: This table is generated by GRESB and represents an aggregation of the data provided at the asset level. It is provided for review purposes and defines the scope of your 2021 GRESB Performance Component submission. It should reflect the total standing investments portfolio and exclude any development and/or major renovation projects, exclude vacant land, cash or other non real estate assets owned by the entity.

You are not able to amend information in this table, with the exception of "% GAV" (this is because GAV is an optional field at asset level and cannot be used for aggregation). Please note that % GAV is used for entity and peer group classification and should accurately reflect the composition of the portfolio.

Provide applicable evidence

| 21 | 1 | Sunnort | ting Scope | Evidence | Main | Portfolio | vn2 di | ncx |
|----|---|---------|------------|----------|------|-----------|--------|-----|

Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

All



Show investors

Provide additional context on how the uploaded evidence supports the entity's reporting boundaries and portfolio composition in R1.1 (maximum 1000 words).

The asset list includes all Ventas owned properties during the reporting period, including those sold or purchased, and including both Landlord Controlled and Tenant Controlled areas, with the exception of Joint Ventures with a stake of 25 percent or lower (excluded per the GRESB reference guide).

R1.2

Countries/states included in the entity's standing investments portfolio

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

| Country | % GAV |
|------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| United States of America | 87.3705 |
| United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland | 1.1867 |
| Canada | 11.4428 |

RISK ASSESSMENT

Risk Assessments

RA1 O Max. score 3

Risk assessments performed on standing investments portfolio

Has the entity performed asset-level environmental and/or social risk assessments of its standing investments during the last three years?

Yes

Select all issues included (multiple answers possible)

- Biodiversity and habitat
- Building safety and materials
 Percentage of portfolio covered
- Climate/climate change adaptation
- Contaminated land
 Percentage of portfolio covered
 14
- Energy efficiency
 Percentage of portfolio covered
 82
- Energy supply
 Percentage of portfolio covered
 82
- Flooding

 Percentage of portfolio covered

 100
- GHG emissions

 Percentage of portfolio covered

 82
- Health and well-being
 Indoor environmental quality
 Percentage of portfolio covered

13

- Natural hazards

 Percentage of portfolio covered

 100
- Percentage of portfolio covered

 100
- Resilience
 Percentage of portfolio covered
- Socio-economic
- Transportation
- ✓ Waste managementPercentage of portfolio covered51
- Water efficiency
 Percentage of portfolio covered

 67
- Water supply
 Percentage of portfolio covered
 7
- Other

The risk assessment is aligned with a third-party standard

O Ye

O ISO 31000

Other

Other selected. Please describe

Environmental items: The Climate Registry's General Verification Protocol (aka California Climate Action Registry (CCAR))

O No

Describe how the outcomes of the ESG risk assessments are used in order to mitigate the selected risks (maximum 250 words)

Ventas identifies and assesses asset-level risks related to environmental and social issues at all stages, from acquisition due diligence throughout the ownership of the asset. Risks are identified by multiple departments and levels at the organization, including the Ventas ESG Steering Committee, HR, Risk Management, Acquisitions, as well as with the assistance of our third-party energy partners, consultants, and engineers. On an asset level, Ventas commissions property condition reports, Phase I environmental surveys, and other due diligence items for all properties prior to acquisition and on a recurring cycle as part of asset and risk management processes. This ensures that known condition deficiencies are identified and addressed in a timely manner. When these reports identify risks, we proactively implement solutions to mitigate risks where we see fit. For example, adding seismic gas shutoff valves in high-risk areas or moving critical building infrastructure (switchgear, generators) to higher elevations in flood-prone buildings. Recommendations for property improvements are prioritized by the insurer and presented to and reviewed by the Ventas asset management team.

O No

RA2 O Max. score 3

Technical building assessments

Technical building assessments performed during the last three years

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

| Topic | Number of assets | % of portfolio covered |
|--------|------------------|------------------------|
| Energy | 614 | 60.625453033658672316 |
| Water | 614 | 60.625453033658672316 |
| Waste | 614 | 60.625453033658672316 |

RISK ASSESSMENT

Efficiency Measures

RA3 O Max. score 1.5

Energy efficiency measures

Energy efficiency measures implemented in the last three years

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

| Energy efficiency measures | Number of assets | % of portfolio covered |
|----------------------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|
| Automatic meter readings (AMR) | | |
| Automation system upgrades / replacements | 48 | 4.18 |
| Management systems upgrades / replacements | 29 | 2.74 |
| Installation of high-efficiency equipment and appliances | 200 | 12.4 |
| Installation of on-site renewable energy | | |
| Occupier engagement / informational technologies | 29 | 2.74 |
| Smart grid / smart building technologies | | |
| Systems commissioning or retro-commissioning | 9 | 0.76 |
| Wall / roof insulation | | |
| Window replacements | 1 | 0.13 |

RA4 O Max. score 1

Water efficiency measures

Water efficiency measures implemented in the last three years $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right$

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

| Water efficiency measures | Number of assets | % of portfolio covered |
|----------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|
| Automatic meter readings (AMR) | | |
| Cooling tower | 2 | 0.6 |
| Drip / smart irrigation | 26 | 2.47 |
| Drought tolerant / native landscaping | 4 | 0.19 |
| High efficiency / dry fixtures | 4 | 0.19 |
| Leak detection system | | |
| Metering of water subsystems | | |
| On-site waste water treatment | | |
| Reuse of storm water and/or grey water | | |

RA5 O Max. score 0.5

Waste management measures

Waste management measures implemented in the last three years

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

| Waste management measures | Number of assets | % of portfolio covered |
|----------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|
| Composting landscape and/or food waste | 8 | 0.7 |
| Ongoing waste performance monitoring | 457 | 36.76 |
| Recycling | 22 | 1.99 |
| Waste stream management | | |
| Waste stream audit | | |

TARGETS

Targets

T1.1

Max. score 2

Portfolio improvement targets

Has the entity set long-term performance improvement targets?

Yes

| Area | Target type | Long-term target (%) | Baseline year | End year | Are these targets communicated externally? |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------|----------|--------------------------------------------|
| Energy consumption | Intensity-based | 20 | 2018 | 2028 | Yes |
| Renewable energy use | | | | | |
| GHG emissions | Absolute | 30 | 2018 | 2030 | Yes |
| Water consumption | Intensity-based | 20 | 2018 | 2028 | Yes |
| Waste diverted from landfill | | | | | |
| Building Certifications | Absolute | 100 | 2016 | 2021 | Yes |
| Data Coverage | | | | | |
| Recycling services available at assets within control boundary | Absolute | 100 | 2018 | 2028 | Yes |

Explain the methodology used to establish the targets and communicate the anticipated pathways to achieve these targets (maximum 250 words)

The Building Certifications goal refers to our goal to achieve LEED Silver certification or better on 100% of our announced R&I pipeline (target date dependent on completion of R&I pipeline). Our GHG emissions target was approved by the Science Based Targets initiative; Ventas commits to reduce absolute scope 1 and scope 2 GHG emissions 30% by 2030 from a 2018 base year, and to measure and reduce its scope 3 emissions. The targets covering greenhouse gas emissions from company operations (scopes 1 and 2) are consistent with reductions required to keep warming to Well-below 2°C.

O No

T1.2

Science-based targets

Is the entity's GHG emissions target science-based?

Yes

Has the target been approved by the Science-Based Targets initiative?

YesNo

Select the scope of the science-based target:

Scope 2 (location-based)

Scope 2 (market-based)Scope 1+2 (location-based)

Scope 1+2 (market-based)

Scope 1+2 (location-based) + Scope 3

Scope 1+2 (market-based) + Scope 3Scope 3

O Other

O No

Not applicable

TENANTS AND COMMUNITY

Tenants/Occupiers

TC1 O Max. score 1

Tenant engagement program

Does the entity have a tenant engagement program in place that includes ESG-specific issues?

Yes

Select all approaches to engage tenants (multiple answers possible)

Building/asset communication

>75, ≤100%

Feedback sessions with individual tenants

≥75, ≤100%

Provide tenants with feedback on energy/water consumption and waste

≥50%, <**7**5%

Social media/online platform

≥50%, <**7**5%

▼ Tenant engagement meetings

≥75, <100%

▼ Tenant ESG guide

0%, <25%

▼ Tenant ESG training

≥25%, <50%

Tenant events focused on increasing ESG awareness

≥75, ≤100%

Othe

Describe the tenant engagement program and methods used to improve tenant satisfaction (maximum 250 words)

Collaboration and communication are foundational to successful asset management. We work hard to maintain an open line of communication with our tenants and operators with frequent touch points and meetings. We conduct regular satisfaction surveys in our Office portfolio, and our Seniors Housing operators conduct periodic resident satisfaction surveys. Our annual Corporate Sustainability Report is made available to all tenants and partners, which outlines our ESG strategies and accomplishments. We also meet with select tenants and operators to review annual environmental data benchmarking to increase engagement and help identify opportunities for improved efficiency. Other examples of ESG engagement include recycling training, electronic waste recycling events, fit-out guides with ESG guidance, and events to connect our tenants and residents with the local community such as food and clothing drives. In 2020, we also conducted significant tenant/ operator engagement and communication around COVID-19 and related health and safety protocols and expect this engagement to be ongoing.

O No

TC2.1

Max. score 1

Tenant satisfaction survey

Has the entity undertaken tenant satisfaction surveys within the last three years?

Yes

The survey is undertaken (multiple answers possible)

Internally

By an independent third party

Percentage of tenants covered

75

Survey response rate

70

| Th | e survey includes quantitative metrics |
|-------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| (| Yes |
| | Metrics include |
| | Net Promoter Score Overall satisfaction score Satisfaction with communication Satisfaction with property management Satisfaction with responsiveness Understanding tenant needs Value for money Other No ovide applicable evidence |
| Inc | dicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found |
| S | verall satisfaction - p.1 atisfaction with communication - p.1 atisfaction with property management - p.1 |
| | Show investors |
| TC Inc | 2.1 - MOB Tenant Survey - Question list.pdf dicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found |
| S S U | verall satisfaction - p. 1 atisfaction with communication - p. 1-2 atisfaction with property management - p. 1-2 atisfaction with property management - p. 1-2 atisfaction with responsiveness - p.2 inderstanding tenant needs - p.2 alue for money - p. 10 |
| | Show investors |
| | fice Portfolio Results 2018-2020.pdf dicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found |
| Р | ercentage of tenants and response rate - see whole document |
| | Show investors |
| O N | 0 |
| TC2.2 | ⊙ Max. score 1 |
| Progra | m to improve tenant satisfaction |
| Does th | ne entity have a program in place to improve tenant satisfaction based on the outcomes of the survey referred to in TC2.1? |
| O Ye | es es |
| Se | elect all applicable options (multiple answers possible) |
| | Development of an asset-specific action plan Feedback sessions with asset/property managers Feedback sessions with individual tenants Other Other selected. Please describe |
| | Sharing of best practices from asset management teams that score well in each area of the survey |
| De | escribe the tenant satisfaction improvement program (maximum 250 words) |
| В | ased on the results of the Medical Office tenant satisfaction survey (administered by Kingsley), Senior Leadership identified seven key survey categories around |

which action plans were created. The seven categories are those that have the highest impact on overall tenant satisfaction. Properties with scores below the Kingsley Index in these seven categories create an action plan that is specific, measurable and create accountability. Properties with scores above the Kingsley Index in these seven categories share their best practices, which are incorporated into others' action plans. All action plans are reviewed and approved by the property's Regional Manager. Based on the results of the Life Science tenant satisfaction survey (administered by Kingsley), Wexford Asset Management required action plans from all of property manager's on all categories found to fall below the Kingsley Index. Kingsley results, action plans and expectations were reviewed and discussed at our Annual Property Management Summit. Updated action plans were than drafted and submitted post summit. Action plans are reviewed and approved by the assigned Wexford Asset Manager. A major focus as a result of the Kingsley Survey was the elevation of customer service. The summit allowed an opportunity for all

Social initiatives

Other

Management and consumption:
 Energy management
 Water management
 Waste management

Sustainable procurementSustainable utilitiesSustainable transport

✓ Indoor environmental quality management

| | of our managers across the life science portfolio to come together and collaborate in addition to the benefit of listening to a keynote speaker in customer service excellence as well as participate in role playing. |
|-------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 00 | No Not applicable |
| TC | 3 ● Max. score 1.5 |
| Fit-c | out & refurbishment program for tenants on ESG |
| Does | s the entity have a fit-out and refurbishment program in place for tenants that includes ESG-specific issues? |
| 0 | Yes |
| | Select all topics included (multiple answers possible) |
| | Fit-out and refurbishment assistance for meeting the minimum fit-out standards >50%, <75% |
| | ✓ Tenant fit-out guides ≥50%, <75% |
| | Minimum fit-out standards are prescribed 0%, <25% |
| | ✓ Procurement assistance for tenants ≥50%, <75% |
| | Other Other selected, Please describe |
| | Ongoing Operator Engagement: We encourage our tenants and operators to explore innovative sustainability technologies for energy, water and waste efficiency. We support this by sharing best-practices and high-quality service and technology providers. Guidance and encouragement for energy and water efficiency measures differs from the categories above as energy and water efficiency standards are not formally included in guides or standards, but rather encouraged through ongoing operator engagement. In 2020, Ventas engaged with operators covering 75% of our portfolio by SF to discuss environmental reduction goals and progress. We also engage regularly (once/month) with our larger SHOP operators to discuss future efficiency capital expenditure projects to achieve further reductions. This leads operators to actively develop efficiency measure proposals that are right for their spaces. We therefore believe that operator engagement fills a gap that is not met by providing guides and minimum standards. |
| | ≥50%, <75% |
| 0 | No No |
| TC | O Max. score 1.5 Score 2020: 1.5/1.5 ✓ Pre-filled from 2020 |
| ESG- | -specific requirements in lease contracts (green leases) |
| Does | s the entity include ESG-specific requirements in its standard lease contracts? |
| 0 | Yes |
| | Select all topics included (multiple answers possible) |
| | Cooperation and works: |
| | ✓ Environmental initiatives ✓ Enabling upgrade works ✓ ESG management collaboration ✓ Premises design for performance Managing waste from works |

| Entity & Rep | orting Characteristics > Entity Characteristics |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Lighting controls and/or daylight Physical and/or mental healthcare access Social interaction and connection Thermal comfort Urban regeneration Water quality Other activity in surrounding community Other building design and construction strategy Other building operations strategy Other programmatic intervention Monitor outcomes by tracking: Environmental quality Program performance Population experience and opinions |
| | Other |
| O No O Not a | pplicable |
| TENANTS Commu | AND COMMUNITY Inity |
| TC6.1 | ● Max. score 2 |
| Communit | y engagement program |
| Does the e | ntity have a community engagement program in place that includes ESG-specific issues? |
| O Yes | |
| Select | all topics included (multiple answers possible) |
| V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V E V V | Community health and well-being Effective communication and process to address community concerns Enhancement programs for public spaces Employment creation in local communities Research and network activities Resilience, including assistance or support in case of disaster Supporting charities and community groups ESG education program Other |
| Descr | ibe the community engagement program and the monitoring process (maximum 250 words) |
| Char Char more | g back to our community is an important value at Ventas. As an industry leader, we have a responsibility to improve the lives of others. Through the Ventas itable Foundation and other corporate donations we support organizations that are important to our employees, customers and communities. Our Employee itable Fund allows employees to directly nominate nonprofit organizations to receive grants of up to \$4,000 per year. Since the program began, we have given than \$750,000 to employee-nominated organizations, supporting more than 90% of requests. 20, our philanthropic contributions totaled \$5,130,208. We donated \$4M to public schools in Philadelphia, and also contributed to organizations including: |
| | rican Cancer Society, Black Girls Code, Cure JM Foundation, One Tail At A Time, World Bicycle Relief NFP, Girl Scouts Of American – Greater Chicago NW Indiana e Our Spare, Children's Place Association, National Multiple Sclerosis Society and Alliance of Hope for Suicide Loss Survivors. |
| | and Wexford develop sustainable, LEED buildings, financed and owned by Ventas; these assets provide enhancements to public spaces and employment ion in local communities. |
| | |

O No

TC6.2

O Max. score 1 | Score 2020: 1/1 ✓ Pre-filled from 2020

Monitoring impact on community

Does the entity monitor its impact on the community?

Yes

Select all topics included (multiple answers possible)

Housing affordability

Impact on crime levels

✓ Livability score

✓ Local income generated

Local residents' well-being

Entity & Reporting Characteristics > Entity Characteristics



O No

ENERGY

Energy Consumption

EN1 O Max. score 14

Energy consumption

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

Healthcare: Healthcare Center

| Floor Areas | Floor Area (sq. ft.) |
|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Whole Building | 7691972.0 |
| - Landlord Controlled | |
| L Tenant Controlled | 7691972.0 |
| Common Areas | |
| Shared Services | |
| Tenant Space | |
| - Landlord Controlled | |
| L Tenant Controlled | |

Healthcare: Senior Homes

| Floor Areas | Floor Area (sq. ft.) |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|
| Whole Building | 65562409.74 |
| - Landlord Controlled | 45433754.0 |
| ^L Tenant Controlled | 20128655.74 |
| Common Areas | |
| Shared Services | |
| Tenant Space | |
| - Landlord Controlled | |
| L Tenant Controlled | |

Office: Corporate: Low-Rise Office

| Floor Areas | Floor Area (sq. ft.) |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|
| Whole Building | 671246.0 |
| - Landlord Controlled | 671246.0 |
| ^L Tenant Controlled | |
| Common Areas | |
| Shared Services | |
| Tenant Space | |
| - Landlord Controlled | |
| ^L Tenant Controlled | |

Office: Medical Office

| Floor Areas | Floor Area (sq. ft.) |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|
| Whole Building | 19323746.0 |
| - Landlord Controlled | 12480764.0 |
| ^L Tenant Controlled | 6842982.0 |
| Common Areas | 110134.5 |
| Shared Services | |
| Tenant Space | 256980.5 |
| - Landlord Controlled | 115763.2 |

| Flo | or Areas | Floor Area (sq. ft.) |
|-----|---------------------|----------------------|
| I | - Tenant Controlled | 141217.3 |

Other

| Floor Areas | Floor Area (sq. ft.) |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|
| Whole Building | 215044.0 |
| - Landlord Controlled | 215044.0 |
| ^L Tenant Controlled | |
| Common Areas | |
| Shared Services | |
| Tenant Space | |
| - Landlord Controlled | |
| ^L Tenant Controlled | |

Technology/Science: Laboratory/Life Sciences

| Floor Areas | Floor Area (sq. ft.) |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|
| Whole Building | 4770716.0 |
| - Landlord Controlled | 3417292.0 |
| ^L Tenant Controlled | 1353424.0 |
| Common Areas | |
| Shared Services | |
| Tenant Space | |
| - Landlord Controlled | |
| ^L Tenant Controlled | |

The table above is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided at the asset level by the GRESB participants through the GRESB Asset Spreadsheet. It displays the total area size reported in the Energy tab, split by floor area types.

 ${\it Total\ energy\ consumption\ of\ the\ portfolio}$

Healthcare: Healthcare Center

| | | | Absolute | | | Like-for-Like | | | |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|
| | | | 2019 | 2019 2020 | | 2019 | 2020 | | |
| | | | Consumption (MWh) | Consumption (MWh) | Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.) | Maximum Floor Area (sq. ft.) | Consumption | Consumption (MWh) | Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.) |
| | Landlord Controlled | Fuels District Heating & Cooling Electricity | | | | | | | |
| Whole Building | Tenant Controlled | Fuels District Heating & Cooling Electricity | 62328.84 | 60340.64 | 2797786.0 | | | | 2544260.0 |
| Sub-total | | | 148994.86 | 174229.5 | | | | | N/A |
| Base Building - Common Areas | Landlord Controlled | Fuels District Heating & Cooling Electricity | | | | | | | |
| Base Building - Shared Services | Landlord Controlled | Fuels District Heating & Cooling Electricity | | | | | | | |
| Tenant Spaces | Landlord Controlled | Fuels District Heating & | | | | | | | |

| | | | Absolute | | | | Like-for-Like | | |
|----------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-----------|----------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|
| | | | 2019 | 2020 | | 2019 | 2020 | | |
| | | | | Consumption (MWh) | Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.) | Maximum Floor Area (sq. ft.) | Consumption | Consumption (MWh) | Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.) |
| | | Cooling | | | | | | | |
| | | Electricity | | | | | | | |
| | | Fuels | | | | | | | |
| | Tenant Controlled | District Heating & | | | | | | | |
| | Controlled | Cooling | | | | | | | |
| | | Electricity | | | | | | | |
| Sub-total | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A | N/A | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A |
| | Landlord | Fuels | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A |
| Outdoor / Exterior areas / | Controlled | Electricity | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A |
| | Tenant | Fuels | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A |
| | Controlled | Electricity | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A |
| Total | | | 148994.86 | 174229.5 | N/A | N/A | 140394.86 | 135684.37 | N/A |

Healthcare: Senior Homes

| | | | Absolute | | | | Like-for-Like | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|----------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|
| | | | 2019 | 2020 | | | 2019 | 2020 | |
| | | | | Consumption (MWh) | Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.) | Maximum Floor Area (sq. ft.) | Consumption (MWh) | Consumption (MWh) | Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.) |
| | | Fuels | 289806.68 | 325713.49 | 44007162.0 | 44805871.0 | 254740.94 | 236002.58 | 29072591.0 |
| | Landlord Controlled | District Heating & Cooling | | | | | | | |
| Whole Building | | Electricity | 398533.88 | 445423.34 | 44977324.0 | 45433754.0 | 348402.24 | 320999.83 | 29736711.0 |
| whole building | | Fuels | 116150.43 | 111854.59 | 14086448.74 | 15202197.74 | 106466.78 | 98941.28 | 11729044.74 |
| | Tenant Controlled | District Heating & Cooling | | | | | | | |
| | | Electricity | 153014.22 | 152890.92 | 14683286.74 | 20128655.74 | 139895.25 | 132174.61 | 12304696.74 |
| Sub-total | | | 957505.2 | 1035882.35 | N/A | N/A | 849505.21 | 788118.31 | N/A |
| | | Fuels | | | | | | | |
| Base Building - Landlord Common Areas Controlled | District Heating & Cooling | | | | | | | | |
| | | Electricity | | | | | | | |
| | | Fuels | | | | | | | |
| Base Building - Shared Services | Landlord Controlled | District Heating & Cooling | | | | | | | |
| | | Electricity | | | | | | | |
| | | Fuels | | | | | | | |
| | Landlord Controlled | District Heating & Cooling | | | | | | | |
| Townshift Constant | | Electricity | | | | | | | |
| Tenant Spaces | | Fuels | | | | | | | |
| | Tenant Controlled | District Heating & Cooling | | | | | | | |
| | | Electricity | | | | | | | |
| Sub-total | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A | N/A | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A |
| | Landlord | Fuels | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A |
| Outdoor / Exterior areas / | Controlled | Electricity | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A |
| Parking | Tenant | Fuels | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A |
| | Controlled | Electricity | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A |
| Total | | | 957505.2 | 1035882.35 | N/A | N/A | 849505.21 | 788118.31 | N/A |

Office: Corporate: Low-Rise Office

| | | | Absolute | | | | Like-for-Like | | |
|--------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|----------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|
| | | | 2019 | 2020 | | | 2019 | 2020 | |
| | | | | Consumption (MWh) | Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.) | Maximum Floor Area (sq. ft.) | Consumption (MWh) | Consumption (MWh) | Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.) |
| | | Fuels | 4255.83 | 3573.91 | 671246.0 | 671246.0 | 3256.57 | 2327.01 | 476190.0 |
| | Landlord Controlled | District Heating & Cooling | | | | | | | |
| Whole Building | | Electricity | 6409.27 | 5782.85 | 671246.0 | 671246.0 | 5275.0 | 4497.51 | 476190.0 |
| Whole building | | Fuels | | | | | | | |
| | Tenant Controlled | District Heating & Cooling | | | | | | | |
| | | Electricity | | | | | | | |
| Sub-total | | | 10665.1 | 9356.75 | N/A | N/A | 8531.57 | 6824.52 | N/A |
| | | Fuels | | | | | | | |
| Base Building - Landlord Common Areas Controlled | District Heating & Cooling | | | | | | | | |
| | | Electricity | | | | | | | |
| | | Fuels | | | | | | | |
| Base Building - Shared Services | Landlord Controlled | District Heating & Cooling | | | | | | | |
| | | Electricity | | | | | | | |
| | | Fuels | | | | | | | |
| | Landlord Controlled | District Heating & Cooling | | | | | | | |
| T | | Electricity | | | | | | | |
| Tenant Spaces | | Fuels | | | | | | | |
| | Tenant Controlled | District Heating & Cooling | | | | | | | |
| | | Electricity | | | | | | | |
| Sub-total | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A | N/A | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A |
| | Landlord | Fuels | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A |
| Outdoor / Exterior areas / | Controlled | Electricity | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A |
| Parking | Tenant | Fuels | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A |
| | Controlled | Electricity | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A |
| Total | | | 10665.1 | 9356.75 | N/A | N/A | 8531.57 | 6824.52 | N/A |

Office: Medical Office

| | | | Absolute | | | | Like-for-Like | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|----------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|
| | | | 2019 | 2020 | | | 2019 | 2020 | |
| | | | | Consumption (MWh) | Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.) | Maximum Floor Area (sq. ft.) | Consumption (MWh) | Consumption (MWh) | Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.) |
| | | Fuels | 72333.81 | 70377.47 | 6736906.0 | 11880152.0 | 70604.75 | 65018.28 | 6119958.0 |
| | Landlord Controlled | District Heating & Cooling | | | | | | | |
| Whole Building | | Electricity | 247522.17 | 238708.87 | 12480764.0 | 12480764.0 | 240442.85 | 229751.25 | 11934001.0 |
| whole Building | | Fuels | 3544.61 | 4005.57 | 779180.0 | 2309746.0 | 2624.14 | 2734.88 | 339951.0 |
| | Tenant Controlled | District Heating & Cooling | | | | | | | |
| | | Electricity | 6713.05 | 8062.38 | 589271.0 | 6793652.0 | 4657.04 | 4752.99 | 238234.0 |
| Sub-total | | | 330113.64 | 321154.29 | N/A | N/A | 318328.78 | 302257.39 | N/A |
| | | Fuels | 3090.93 | 3958.18 | 110134.5 | 110134.5 | 3090.93 | 3958.18 | 110134.5 |
| Base Building - Common Areas | Landlord Controlled | District Heating & Cooling | | | | | | | |
| | | Electricity | 1565.25 | 1620.52 | 110134.5 | 110134.5 | 1565.25 | 1620.52 | 110134.5 |
| Base Building - | Landlord | Fuels | | | | | | | |
| Shared Services | Controlled | District Heating & | | | | | | | |

| | | | Absolute | | | | Like-for-Like | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|----------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|
| | | | 2019 | 2020 | | | 2019 | 2020 | |
| | | | | Consumption (MWh) | Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.) | Maximum Floor Area (sq. ft.) | Consumption (MWh) | Consumption (MWh) | Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.) |
| | | Cooling | | | | | | | |
| | | Electricity | | | | | | | |
| | | Fuels | | | | | | | |
| Landlord Controlled | District Heating & Cooling | | | | | | | | |
| Toward Coassa | | Electricity | | | | 115763.2 | | | |
| Tenant Spaces | | Fuels | | | | 33180.7 | | | |
| | Tenant Controlled | District Heating & Cooling | | | | | | | |
| | | Electricity | | | | 141217.3 | | | |
| Sub-total | | | 4656.18 | 5578.7 | N/A | N/A | 4656.18 | 5578.7 | N/A |
| | Landlord | Fuels | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A |
| Outdoor / Exterior areas / | Outdoor / Exterior areas / Controlled | Electricity | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A |
| Parking Tenant | Tenant | Fuels | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A |
| | Controlled | Electricity | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A |
| Total | | | 334769.82 | 326732.99 | N/A | N/A | 322984.96 | 307836.1 | N/A |

Other

| | | | Absolute | | | | Like-for-Like | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|
| | | | 2019 | 2020 | | | 2019 | 2020 | |
| | | | Consumption (MWh) | Consumption (MWh) | Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.) | Maximum Floor Area (sq. ft.) | Consumption (MWh) | Consumption (MWh) | Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.) |
| | | Fuels | | | | 215044.0 | | | |
| | Landlord Controlled | District Heating & Cooling | | | | | | | |
| Whole Building | | Electricity | 596.87 | 443.0 | 215044.0 | 215044.0 | 596.87 | 443.0 | 215044.0 |
| Whote Building | | Fuels | | | | | | | |
| | Tenant Controlled | District Heating & Cooling | | | | | | | |
| | | Electricity | | | | | | | |
| Sub-total | | | 596.87 | 443.0 | N/A | N/A | 596.87 | 443.0 | N/A |
| | | Fuels | | | | | | | |
| Base Building - Common Areas | Landlord Controlled | District Heating & Cooling | | | | | | | |
| | | Electricity | | | | | | | |
| | | Fuels | | | | | | | |
| Base Building - | Landlord Controlled | District Heating & | | | | | | | |
| Shared Services | | Cooling | | | | | | | |
| | | Electricity | | | | | | | |
| | | Fuels | | | | | | | |
| | Landlord Controlled | District Heating & Cooling | | | | | | | |
| Tenant Spaces | | Electricity | | | | | | | |
| Tenunt Spaces | | Fuels | | | | | | | |
| | Tenant Controlled | District Heating & Cooling | | | | | | | |
| | | Electricity | | | | | | | |
| Sub-total | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A | N/A | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A |
| | Landlord | Fuels | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A |
| Outdoor / Exterior areas / | Controlled | Electricity | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A |
| Parking | Tenant | Fuels | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A |
| | Controlled | Electricity | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A |
| Total | | | 596.87 | 443.0 | N/A | N/A | 596.87 | 443.0 | N/A |

Technology/Science: Laboratory/Life Sciences

| | | | Absolute | | | | Like-for-Like | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|
| | | | 2019 | 2020 | | | 2019 | 2020 | |
| | | | Consumption (MWh) | Consumption (MWh) | Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.) | Maximum Floor Area (sq. ft.) | Consumption (MWh) | Consumption (MWh) | Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.) |
| | | Fuels | 53941.02 | 54203.36 | 3417292.0 | 3417292.0 | 53941.02 | 53418.18 | 3190180.0 |
| | Landlord Controlled | District Heating & Cooling | | | | | | | |
| Whole Building | | Electricity | 98572.27 | 98511.37 | 3417292.0 | 3417292.0 | 98572.27 | 96745.93 | 3190180.0 |
| Whole Building | | Fuels | 18095.12 | 13878.06 | 819065.0 | 1024785.0 | 18016.24 | 12202.2 | 711251.0 |
| | Tenant Controlled | District Heating & Cooling | 10848.74 | 9362.11 | 129178.0 | 129178.0 | 10848.74 | 9362.11 | 129178.0 |
| | | Electricity | 39342.31 | 48737.28 | 1024785.0 | 1353424.0 | 31293.91 | 36615.86 | 871018.0 |
| Sub-total | | | 220799.47 | 224692.19 | N/A | N/A | 212672.18 | 208344.29 | N/A |
| | | Fuels | | | | | | | |
| Base Building - Landlord Common Areas Controlled | District Heating & Cooling | | | | | | | | |
| | | Electricity | | | | | | | |
| | | Fuels | | | | | | | |
| Base Building - Shared Services | Landlord Controlled | District Heating & Cooling | | | | | | | |
| | | Electricity | | | | | | | |
| | | Fuels | | | | | | | |
| | Landlord Controlled | District Heating & Cooling | | | | | | | |
| | | Electricity | | | | | | | |
| Tenant Spaces | | Fuels | | | | | | | |
| | Tenant Controlled | District Heating & Cooling | | | | | | | |
| | | Electricity | | | | | | | |
| Sub-total | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A | N/A | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A |
| | Landlord | Fuels | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A |
| Outdoor / Exterior areas / | Controlled | Electricity | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A |
| Parking | Tenant | Fuels | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A |
| | Controlled | Electricity | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A |
| Total | | | 220799.47 | 224692.19 | N/A | N/A | 212672.18 | 208344.29 | N/A |

The table above is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided at the asset level by the GRESB participants through the GRESB Asset Spreadsheet. It displays the aggregated Energy consumption values per property type, along with their related Floor Area Covered, Maximum Floor Areas and Like-for-like consumption changes [%]

Total data coverage of the portfolio

Healthcare: Healthcare Center

| | Data Coverage | | Like-for-Like | | |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------|------|---------------|--------|--------------------------|
| | Area-Aggregated Data coverages (%) | | | , 33 3 | LFL data coverage (%) |
| Landlord Controlled | | | | | |
| Tenant Controlled | 42.99 | 76.0 | 42.89 | -3.36 | 44.16 |

Healthcare: Senior Homes

| | Data Coverage | | Like-for-Like | | |
|------------------------|---------------|------|---------------|--------|--------------------------|
| | | | | . 33 3 | LFL data coverage (%) |
| Landlord Controlled | 98.15 | 98.0 | 98.27 | -7.65 | 65.17 |
| Tenant Controlled | 71.46 | 69.0 | 71.36 | -6.19 | 68.02 |

Office: Corporate: Low-Rise Office

| | Data Coverage | | Like-for-Like | | |
|------------------------|---------------|-------|---------------|--------|--------------------------|
| | | | | , 33 3 | LFL data coverage (%) |
| Landlord Controlled | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | -20.01 | 70.94 |
| Tenant Controlled | | | | | |

Office: Medical Office

| | Data Coverage | | Like-for-Like | | |
|------------------------|---------------|------|---------------|-------|--------------------------|
| | | | | | LFL data coverage (%) |
| Landlord Controlled | 78.85 | 99.0 | 78.49 | -4.86 | 73.66 |
| Tenant Controlled | 10.15 | 8.0 | 9.63 | 2.84 | 6.22 |

Other

| | Data Coverage | | Like-for-Like | | |
|------------------------|---------------|-------|---------------|--------|--------------------------|
| | | | | . 33 3 | LFL data coverage (%) |
| Landlord Controlled | 50.0 | 100.0 | 50.0 | -25.78 | 50.0 |
| Tenant Controlled | | | | | |

Technology/Science: Laboratory/Life Sciences

| | Data Coverage | | | Like-for-Like | e | | |
|------------------------|---------------|-------|-------|---------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| | | | | . 33 3 | LFL data coverage (%) | | |
| Landlord Controlled | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | -1.54 | 93.35 | | |
| Tenant Controlled | 69.71 | 68.0 | 71.1 | -3.29 | 68.26 | | |

The table above is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided at the asset level by the GRESB participants through the GRESB Asset Spreadsheet. It displays a summary of aggregated Data Coverages and Like-for-Like consumption changes per property type, split by Landlord Controlled and Tenant Controlled areas. While "Area - Aggregated Data coverage" only accounts for the floor area size of assets when aggregating values, "Time - Aggregated Data coverage" accounts for the period of ownership. Consequently, "Area/Time - Aggregated Data coverage" aggregates both dimensions and is used for benchmarking purposes.

Renewable energy generated

Healthcare: Healthcare Center

| | | 2019 | | 2020 | | |
|----------|---------------------------------------------------|------|---------------------------|------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| | | • | % of total Consumption | | % of total Consumption | 2020/2019 change (%) |
| | Generated and consumed by landlord | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | |
| On-site | Generated and exported by landlord | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | |
| | Generated and consumed by third-party (or tenant) | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | |
| On-site | - Sub-total | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Off- | Purchased by Landlord | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | |
| site | Purchased by Tenant | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | |
| Off-site | - Sub-total | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Renewa | ble Energy - Total | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Healthcare: Senior Homes

| | | 2019 | | 2020 | | |
|---------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------|----------------------|------|-------------------------|
| | | Consumption % of total (MWh) Consumption | | Consumption (MWh) | | 2020/2019 change (%) |
| On-site | Generated and consumed by landlord | 139.81 | 0.01 | 213.01 | 0.02 | |
| | Generated and exported by landlord | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.48 | 0.0 | |

| | | 2019 | | 2020 | | |
|----------|---------------------------------------------------|--------|------|--------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| | | • | | | % of total Consumption | 2020/2019 change (%) |
| | Generated and consumed by third-party (or tenant) | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | |
| On-site | e - Sub-total | 139.81 | 0.01 | 253.49 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| Off- | Purchased by Landlord | 0.0 | 0.0 | 321.77 | 0.03 | |
| site | Purchased by Tenant | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | |
| Off-site | e - Sub-total | 0.0 | 0.0 | 321.77 | 0.03 | 0.03 |
| Renew | able Energy - Total | 139.81 | 0.01 | 575.26 | 0.06 | 0.04 |

Office: Corporate: Low-Rise Office

| | | 2019 | | 2020 | | |
|----------|---------------------------------------------------|------|-----|------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| | | • | | • | % of total Consumption | 2020/2019 change (%) |
| | Generated and consumed by landlord | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | |
| Un-site | Generated and exported by landlord | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | |
| | Generated and consumed by third-party (or tenant) | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | |
| On-site | - Sub-total | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Off- | Purchased by Landlord | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | |
| site | Purchased by Tenant | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | |
| Off-site | - Sub-total | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Renewa | ble Energy - Total | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Office: Medical Office

| | | 2019 | | 2020 | | |
|----------|---------------------------------------------------|------|-----|------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| | | • | | | % of total Consumption | 2020/2019 change (%) |
| | Generated and consumed by landlord | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | |
| On-site | Generated and exported by landlord | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | |
| | Generated and consumed by third-party (or tenant) | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | |
| On-site | - Sub-total | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Off- | Purchased by Landlord | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | |
| site | Purchased by Tenant | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | |
| Off-site | - Sub-total | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Renewa | able Energy - Total | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Other

| | | 2019 | | 2020 | | |
|----------|---------------------------------------------------|----------------------|-----|----------------------|-----|-------------------------|
| | | Consumption (MWh) | | Consumption (MWh) | | 2020/2019 change (%) |
| | Generated and consumed by landlord | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | |
| Un-site | Generated and exported by landlord | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | |
| | Generated and consumed by third-party (or tenant) | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | |
| On-site | - Sub-total | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Off- | Purchased by Landlord | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | |
| site | Purchased by Tenant | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | |
| Off-site | - Sub-total | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Renewa | ble Energy - Total | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Technology/Science: Laboratory/Life Sciences

| | 2019 | | 2020 | | |
|--------------------------------------------|-------|---------------------------|----------------------|------|-------------------------|
| | l | % of total Consumption | Consumption (MWh) | | 2020/2019 change (%) |
| On-site Generated and consumed by landlord | 55.69 | 0.03 | 59.6 | 0.03 | |

| | | 2019 | | 2020 | | |
|----------|---------------------------------------------------|-------|------|------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| | | | | | % of total Consumption | 2020/2019 change (%) |
| | Generated and exported by landlord | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | |
| | Generated and consumed by third-party (or tenant) | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | |
| On-site | - Sub-total | 55.69 | 0.03 | 59.6 | 0.03 | 0.0 |
| Off- | Purchased by Landlord | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | |
| site | Purchased by Tenant | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | |
| Off-site | e - Sub-total | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Renewa | able Energy - Total | 55.69 | 0.03 | 59.6 | 0.03 | 0.0 |

The table above is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided at the asset level by the GRESB participants through the GRESB Asset Spreadsheet. It displays the aggregated Renewable Energy consumed/generated per property type, either on-site or off-site, as well as the Percentage of total Consumption by category.

Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only)

Entity & Reporting Characteristics > Entity Characteristics

GHG

GHG Emissions

GHG emissions

Total GHG emissions of the portfolio

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

Healthcare: Healthcare Center

| | | | Absolute | Absolute | | | | | Like-for-Like | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | 2019 | 2020 | | | 2019 | 2020 | | | |
| | | | Emissions (tonnes) | | Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.) | Maximum Floor Area (sq. ft.) | Emissions (tonnes) | Emissions (tonnes) | Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.) | | |
| | Scope 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Scope 2 | Location Based | | | | | | | | | |
| Whole Building | Scope 2 | Market Based (optional) | | | - | - | | | - | | |
| whole Building | Total Scope | 1&2 GHG emissions | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A | N/A | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A | | |
| | Scope 3 | | 48722.8 | 61430.94 | 3785077.0 | 7691972.0 | 45378.45 | 44034.59 | 2741949.0 | | |
| | Total Scope | 1&2&3 GHG emissions | 48722.8 | 61430.94 | N/A | N/A | 45378.45 | 44034.59 | N/A | | |
| | Scope 1 | | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A | | |
| | Scope 2 | Location Based | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A | | |
| Outdoor / Exterior areas / Parking | Scope 2 | Market Based (optional) | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A | | |
| oddoor, Exterior dreas, ranking | Total Scope | 1&2 GHG emissions | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A | N/A | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A | | |
| | Scope 3 | | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A | | |
| | Total Scope | 1&2&3 GHG emissions | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A | N/A | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A | | |
| GHG Offsets | | | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A | | |
| Net GHG Emissions after offsets | | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A | | | |

Healthcare: Senior Homes

| | | | Absolute | Absolute | | | | | Like-for-Like | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|
| | | 2019 | 2020 | | 2019 | 2019 2020 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | Emissions (tonnes) | Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.) | | |
| | Scope 1 | | 52129.21 | 58540.3 | 44007162.0 | 44805871.0 | 45877.33 | 42501.02 | 29072591.0 | | |
| | Scope 2 | Location Based | 138654.7 | 130678.34 | 44977324.0 | 45433754.0 | 131639.93 | 120550.6 | 29736711.0 | | |
| Whole Building | Scope 2 | Market Based (optional) | | | - | - | | | - | | |
| Whote Building | Total Scope | 1&2 GHG emissions | 190783.92 | 189218.64 | N/A | N/A | 177517.26 | 163051.62 | N/A | | |
| | Scope 3 | | 83282.94 | 84261.4 | 14928197.74 | 20128655.74 | 77091.1 | 72818.65 | 12524097.74 | | |
| | Total Scope | 1&2&3 GHG emissions | 274066.85 | 273480.04 | N/A | N/A | 254608.36 | 235870.27 | N/A | | |
| Outdoor / Exterior areas / Parking | Scope 1 | | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A | | |

| | | | Absolute | | | | | Like-for-Like | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|---|-----|--|
| | | | 2019 | 2020 | | | 2019 | 2020 | | | |
| | | Emissions (tonnes) | | Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.) | Maximum Floor Area (sq. ft.) | Emissions (tonnes) | Emissions (tonnes) | Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.) | | | |
| | Scope 2 | Location Based | | | N, | A N/A | Λ | | | N/A | |
| | Scope 2 | Market Based (optional) | | | N, | A N/A | 4 | | | N/A | |
| | Total Scope | 1&2 GHG emissions | 0.0 | 0.0 | N, | A N/A | 0.0 | 0.0 |) | N/A | |
| | Scope 3 | | | | N, | A N// | 4 | | | N/A | |
| | Total Scope | 1&2&3 GHG emissions | 0.0 | 0.0 | N, | A N/A | 0.0 | 0.0 |) | N/A | |
| GHG Offsets | | | | | N, | A N// | 1 | | | N/A | |
| Net GHG Emissions after offsets | | | | | N, | A N// | A Total | | | N/A | |

Office: Corporate: Low-Rise Office

| | | | Absolute | | | | | Like-for-Like | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|----------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|----------|--|
| | | | 2019 | 2020 | | | 2019 | 2019 2020 | | |
| | | | | Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.) | | Emissions (tonnes) | Emissions (tonnes) | Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.) | | |
| | Scope 1 | | 771.31 | 647.72 | 671246.0 | 671246.0 | 590.21 | 421.74 | 476190.0 | |
| | Scope 2 | Location Based | 2088.26 | 1859.06 | 671246.0 | 671246.0 | 1798.16 | 1530.32 | 476190.0 | |
| Whole Building | Scope 2 | Market Based (optional) | | | - | - | | | - | |
| Whole Building | Total Scope | 1&2 GHG emissions | 2859.57 | 2506.78 | N/A | N/A | 2388.37 | 1952.06 | N/A | |
| | Scope 3 | | | | | | | | | |
| | Total Scope | 1&2&3 GHG emissions | 2859.57 | 2506.78 | N/A | N/A | 2388.37 | 1952.06 | N/A | |
| | Scope 1 | | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A | |
| | Scope 2 | Location Based | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A | |
| Outdoor / Exterior areas / Parking | ocope 2 | Market Based (optional) | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A | |
| | Total Scope | 1&2 GHG emissions | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A | N/A | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A | |
| | Scope 3 | | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A | |
| | Total Scope | 1&2&3 GHG emissions | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A | N/A | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A | |
| GHG Offsets | | | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A | |
| Net GHG Emissions after offsets | | | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A | |

Office: Medical Office

| | | Absolute | Absolute | | | Like-for-Like | | |
|----------------|---------|----------|----------|-----------|------------|---------------|----------|---------------------------------|
| | | 2019 | 2020 | | | 2019 2020 | | |
| | | | | | | | | Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.) |
| Whole Building | Scope 1 | 13669 7 | 13472 32 | 4847040 5 | 11990284 5 | 13356 33 | 12501 0/ | 6230092 5 |

| | | | Absolute | | | | | Like-for-Like | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|------------|-----------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|------------|--|
| | | | 2019 | 2020 | | | 2019 | 2020 | | |
| | | Emissions (tonnes) | | Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.) | | Emissions (tonnes) | | Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.) | | |
| | Scope 2 | Location Based | 118854.75 | 114093.32 | 12590898.5 | 12590898.5 | 116061.46 | 110662.13 | 12044135.5 | |
| | Scope 2 | Market Based (optional) | | | - | - | | | - | |
| | Total Scope | 1&2 GHG emissions | 132524.45 | 127565.65 | N/A | N/A | 129417.79 | 123163.17 | N/A | |
| | Scope 3 | | 3608.9 | 4228.72 | 824196.0 | 7099962.5 | 2707.69 | 2808.69 | 468623.0 | |
| | Total Scope | 1&2&3 GHG emissions | 136133.35 | 131794.36 | N/A | N/A | 132125.48 | 125971.86 | N/A | |
| | Scope 1 | | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A | |
| | Scope 2 | Location Based | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A | |
| Outdoor / Exterior areas / Parking | Scope 2 | Market Based (optional) | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A | |
| outdoor / Exterior dreas / Farking | Total Scope | 1&2 GHG emissions | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A | N/A | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A | |
| | Scope 3 | | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A | |
| | Total Scope | 1&2&3 GHG emissions | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A | N/A | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A | |
| GHG Offsets | | | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A | |
| Net GHG Emissions after offsets | | | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A | |

Other

| | | | Absolute | | | | | Like-for-Like | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|----------|--|
| | | | 2019 | 2020 | | | 2019 | 2020 | | |
| | | Emissions (tonnes) | | Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.) | Maximum Floor Area (sq. ft.) | Emissions (tonnes) | Emissions (tonnes) | Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.) | | |
| | Scope 1 | | | | | 215044.0 | | | | |
| | Scope 2 | Location Based | 206.29 | 153.11 | 215044.0 | 215044.0 | 206.29 | 153.11 | 215044.0 | |
| Whole Building | Scope 2 | Market Based (optional) | | | - | - | | | - | |
| whole building | Total Scope | 1&2 GHG emissions | 206.29 | 153.11 | N/A | N/A | 206.29 | 153.11 | N/A | |
| | Scope 3 | | | | | | | | | |
| | Total Scope | 1&2&3 GHG emissions | 206.29 | 153.11 | N/A | N/A | 206.29 | 153.11 | N/A | |
| | Scope 1 | | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A | |
| | Scope 2 | Location Based | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A | |
| Outdoor / Exterior areas / Parking | Scope 2 | Market Based (optional) | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A | |
| Outdoor / Exterior areas / Farking | Total Scope | 1&2 GHG emissions | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A | N/A | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A | |
| | Scope 3 | | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A | |
| | Total Scope | 1&2&3 GHG emissions | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A | N/A | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A | |
| GHG Offsets | | | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A | |
| Net GHG Emissions after offsets | | | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A | |

Technology/Science: Laboratory/Life Sciences

| Absolute | | | | | | | Like-for-Like | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|-----------|
| | | | 2019 | 2020 | | | 2019 | 2020 | |
| | | | | | | Emissions (tonnes) | | Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.) | |
| | Scope 1 | | 9776.05 | 9828.29 | 3417292.0 | 3417292.0 | 9776.05 | 9681.3 | 3190180.0 |
| | Scope 2 | Location Based | 36535.3 | 36080.63 | 3417292.0 | 3417292.0 | 36535.3 | 35411.23 | 3190180.0 |
| Whole Building | Scope 2 | Market Based (optional) | | | - | - | | | - |
| Whole Building | Total Scope | 1&2 GHG emissions | 46311.35 | 45908.92 | N/A | N/A | 46311.35 | 45092.53 | N/A |
| | Scope 3 | | 22362.22 | 29031.6 | 1024785.0 | 1353424.0 | 19566.23 | 24570.76 | 871018.0 |
| | Total Scope | 1&2&3 GHG emissions | 68673.57 | 74940.52 | N/A | N/A | 65877.58 | 69663.29 | N/A |
| | Scope 1 | | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A |
| | Scope 2 | Location Based | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A |
| Outdoor / Exterior areas / Parking | Scope 2 | Market Based (optional) | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A |
| Outubor / Exterior areas / Farking | Total Scope | 1&2 GHG emissions | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A | N/A | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A |
| | Scope 3 | | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A |
| | Total Scope | 1&2&3 GHG emissions | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A | N/A | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A |
| GHG Offsets | | | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A |
| Net GHG Emissions after offsets | | | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A |

The table above is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided at the asset level by the GRESB participants through the GRESB Asset Spreadsheet. It displays the aggregated GHG emissions values per property type, along with their related Floor Area Covered, Maximum Floor Areas and Like-for-like changes (%) in emissions.

Note: Scope 3 emissions in the GRESB Assessment are calculated as the emissions associated with tenant areas, unless they are already reported as Scope 2 emissions (if they cannot be disassociated from emissions from other areas). Scope 3 emissions do not include emissions generated through the entity's operations or by its employees, transmission losses or upstream supply chain emissions.

Total data coverage of the portfolio

Healthcare: Healthcare Center

| | Data Coverage | | | Like-for-Like | | | |
|-----------|------------------------------------|------|--------------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| | Area-Aggregated Data coverages (%) | | Area/Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%) | | LFL data coverage (%) | | |
| Scope 1 & | 2 | | | | | | |
| Scope 3 | 49.21 | 76.0 | 49.06 | -2.96 | 35.65 | | |

Healthcare: Senior Homes

| | Data Coverage | | Like-for-Like | | | |
|-------------|---------------------------------------|------|--------------------------------------------|-------|--------------------------|--|
| | Area-Aggregated Data coverages (%) | | Area/Time-Aggregated Data coverages (%) | | LFL data coverage (%) | |
| Scope 1 & 2 | 98.15 | 98.0 | 98.27 | -8.15 | 65.17 | |
| Scope 3 | 74.16 | 69.0 | 73.98 | -5.54 | 62.22 | |

Office: Corporate: Low-Rise Office

| | Data Coverage | | | Like-for-Like | |
|-------------|---------------------------------------|-------|-------|---------------|--------------------------|
| | Area-Aggregated Data coverages (%) | | | 00 0 | LFL data coverage (%) |
| Scope 1 & 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | -18.27 | 70.94 |
| Scope 3 | | | | | |

Office: Medical Office

| | Data Coverage | | Like-for-Like | | | |
|-------------|---------------------------------------|------|---------------|-------|--------------------------|--|
| | Area-Aggregated Data coverages (%) | | | | LFL data coverage (%) | |
| Scope 1 & 2 | 79.58 | 99.0 | 79.21 | -4.83 | 74.34 | |
| Scope 3 | 11.61 | 8.0 | 10.63 | 3.73 | 6.6 | |

Other

| | Data Coverage | | | Like-for-Like | |
|-------------|---------------------------------------|-------|------|---------------|--------------------------|
| | Area-Aggregated Data coverages (%) | | | | LFL data coverage (%) |
| Scope 1 & 2 | 50.0 | 100.0 | 50.0 | -25.78 | 50.0 |
| Scope 3 | | | | | |

Technology/Science: Laboratory/Life Sciences

| | Data Coverage | | | Like-for-Like | | |
|-------------|---------------------------------------|-------|-------|---------------|--------------------------|--|
| | Area-Aggregated Data coverages (%) | | | | LFL data coverage (%) | |
| Scope 1 & 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | -2.63 | 93.35 | |
| Scope 3 | 75.72 | 68.0 | 77.08 | 25.58 | 64.36 | |

The table above is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided at the asset level by the GRESB participants through the GRESB Asset Spreadsheet. It displays a summary of aggregated Data Coverages and Like-for-Like consumption changes per property type, split by emission Scopes. While "Area - Aggregated Data coverage" only accounts for the floor area size of assets when aggregating values, "Time - Aggregated Data coverage" accounts for the period of ownership. Consequently, "Area/Time - Aggregated Data coverage" aggregates both dimensions and is used for benchmarking purposes.

Explain (a) the GHG emissions calculation standard/methodology/protocol, (b) used emission factors, (c) level of uncertainty in data accuracy, (d) source and characteristics of GHG emissions offsets (maximum 250 words).

Entity & Reporting Characteristics > Entity Characteristics

WATER

Water Use

Water use

Total water consumption of the portfolio

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

Healthcare: Healthcare Center

| | | | Absolute | | | | Like-for-Like | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| | | | 2019 | 2020 | | | 2019 | 2020 | | |
| | | | | | | Maximum Floor Area (sq. ft.) | Consumption (m ³) | Consumption (m ³) | Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.) | |
| Whole Building Whole B | Whole Building | Landlord Controlled | | | | | | | | |
| Whole Building | Whole Building | Tenant Controlled | 835320.82 | 815804.91 | 2957004.0 | 7691972.0 | 659956.49 | 639839.83 | 2489184.0 | |
| Sub-total | | | 835320.82 | 815804.91 | N/A | N/A | 659956.49 | 639839.83 | N/A | |
| Base Building | Common Areas | Landlord Controlled | | | | | | | | |
| buse building | Shared Services | Landlord Controlled | | | | | | | | |
| Tenant Spaces | Tenant Spaces | Landlord Controlled | | | | | | | | |
| renant spaces | Teriaint Spaces | Tenant Controlled | | | | | | | | |
| Sub-total | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A | N/A | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A | |
| Outdoor / Exterior areas / Parking Landlord Controlled Tenant Controlled | | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A | | |
| | | Tenant Controlled | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A | |
| Total | | | 835320.82 | 815804.91 | N/A | N/A | 659956.49 | 639839.83 | N/A | |

Healthcare: Senior Homes

| | | | Absolute | | | | Like-for-Like | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|------------|------------|-------------|---------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | | 2019 | 2020 | | | 2019 2020 | | |
| | | | | | | Maximum Floor Area (sq. ft.) | | Consumption (m ³) | Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.) |
| Whole Building | Whole Building | Landlord Controlled | 5391216.57 | 5341742.31 | 31470685.0 | 45433754.0 | 5101047.5 | 5031162.86 | 28657637.0 |
| whole Bullang | Whole Building Whole Building | Tenant Controlled | 2603852.8 | 2468317.69 | 13366926.74 | 20128655.74 | 2071503.22 | 2044700.86 | 10671334.02 |
| Sub-total | | | 7995069.36 | 7810060.0 | N/A | N/A | 7172550.72 | 7075863.72 | N/A |
| Base Building | Common Areas | Landlord Controlled | | | | | | | |
| base building | Shared Services | Landlord Controlled | | | | | | | |
| Tenant Spaces | Tenant Spaces | Landlord Controlled | | | | | | | |
| Tellalit Spaces | Tellalit Spaces | Tenant Controlled | | | | | | | |
| Sub-total | | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A | N/A | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A | |
| Outdoor / Exterior areas / Parking Landlord Controlled Tenant Controlled | | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A | |
| | | Tenant Controlled | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A |

| | Absolute L | | | | Like-for-Like | | |
|-------|----------------------------------|-----------|-----|-----|---------------|------------|---------------------------------|
| | 2019 | 2020 | | | 2019 | 2020 | |
| | Consumption (m ³) | | | | | | Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.) |
| Total | 7995069.36 | 7810060.0 | N/A | N/A | 7172550.72 | 7075863.72 | N/A |

Office: Corporate: Low-Rise Office

| | | | Absolute | | | | Like-for-Like | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------|---------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------|
| | | | 2019 | 2020 | | | 2019 | 2020 | |
| | | | | | Maximum Floor Area (sq. ft.) | Consumption (m ³) | Consumption (m ³) | Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.) | |
| Whole Building Whole | Whole Building | Landlord Controlled | 15421.82 | 10832.6 | 671246.0 | 671246.0 | 15421.82 | 10787.12 | 476190.0 |
| Whole Bullang | Whole Building | Tenant Controlled | | | | | | | |
| Sub-total Sub-total | | 15421.82 | 10832.6 | N/A | N/A | 15421.82 | 10787.12 | N/A | |
| Base Building | Common Areas | Landlord Controlled | | | | | | | |
| base ballaring | Shared Services | Landlord Controlled | | | | | | | |
| Tenant Spaces | Tenant Spaces | Landlord Controlled | | | | | | | |
| Tenant Spaces | Tenant Spaces | Tenant Controlled | | | | | | | |
| Sub-total | Sub-total Sub-total | | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A | N/A | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A |
| Outdoor / Exterior areas / Parking Landlord Controlled Tenant Controlled | | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A | |
| | | Tenant Controlled | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A |
| Total | | 15421.82 | 10832.6 | N/A | N/A | 15421.82 | 10787.12 | N/A | |

Office: Medical Office

| | Ab | | | Absolute | | | | Like-for-Like | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| | | | 2019 | 2019 2020 20 | | 2019 | 2020 | | | |
| | | | Consumption (m ³) | Consumption (m ³) | | Maximum Floor Area (sq. ft.) | • | Consumption (m ³) | Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.) | |
| Whole Building | Whole Building | Landlord Controlled | 1036228.96 | 1007082.48 | 11006448.0 | 12480764.0 | 957474.47 | 888017.43 | 9757292.0 | |
| whole Building Whole Building | Whote Buitaing | Tenant Controlled | 67931.69 | 67553.88 | 1328975.0 | 6842982.0 | 60950.59 | 57074.62 | 1028847.0 | |
| Sub-total | | 1104160.65 | 1074636.36 | N/A | N/A | 1018425.06 | 945092.05 | N/A | | |
| Base Building | Common Areas | Landlord Controlled | 7569.27 | 7785.14 | 110134.5 | 110134.5 | 7569.27 | 7785.14 | 110134.5 | |
| base ballaning | Shared Services | Landlord Controlled | | | | 0.0 | | | | |
| Tenant Spaces | Tenant Spaces | Landlord Controlled | | | | 115763.2 | | | | |
| Tellant Spaces | Tenant Spaces Tenant Controlled | | | | | 141217.3 | | | | |
| Sub-total Sub-to | | 7569.27 | 7785.14 | N/A | N/A | 7569.27 | 7785.14 | N/A | | |
| Outdoor / Exterior areas / Parking Landlord Controlled Tenant Controlled | | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A | | |
| | | Tenant Controlled | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A | |

| | Absolute L | | | | Like-for-Like | | |
|-------|----------------------------------|-----------|-----|-----|---------------|-----------|---------------------------------|
| | 2019 | 2020 21 | | | 2019 | 2020 | |
| | Consumption (m ³) | | | | | | Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.) |
| Total | 1111729.92 | 1082421.5 | N/A | N/A | 1025994.33 | 952877.18 | N/A |

Other

| | | | Absolute | | | | Like-for-Like | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|----------|--------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | | 2019 | 2020 | | | 2019 | 2020 | |
| | | | | | | Maximum Floor Area (sq. ft.) | Consumption (m ³) | Consumption (m ³) | Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.) |
| Whole Building Whole Building | Whole Building | Landlord Controlled | 229.93 | 118.25 | 215044.0 | 215044.0 | 229.93 | 118.25 | 215044.0 |
| | Tenant Controlled | | | | | | | | |
| Sub-total | | 229.93 | 118.25 | N/A | N/A | 229.93 | 118.25 | N/A | |
| Base Building | Common Areas | Landlord Controlled | | | | | | | |
| base building | Shared Services | Landlord Controlled | | | | | | | |
| Tenant Spaces | Tenant Spaces | Landlord Controlled | | | | | | | |
| Tenant Spaces | Tenant Spaces | Tenant Controlled | | | | | | | |
| Sub-total | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A | N/A | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A |
| Outdoor / Exterior areas / Parking Landlord Controlled Tenant Controlled | | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A | |
| | | Tenant Controlled | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A |
| Total | Total | | 229.93 | 118.25 | N/A | N/A | 229.93 | 118.25 | N/A |

Technology/Science: Laboratory/Life Sciences

| | | | Absolute | | | | Like-for-Like | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | | 2019 | 2020 | | 2019 | 2020 | | |
| | | | | Consumption (m ³) | | Maximum Floor Area (sq. ft.) | • | Consumption (m ³) | Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.) |
| Whole Building Whole Building | Landlord Controlled | 353214.15 | 308344.31 | 3417292.0 | 3417292.0 | 353214.15 | 301856.44 | 3190180.0 | |
| Whole Building | Whole Building Whole Building | Tenant Controlled | 57104.58 | 62395.26 | 1156834.0 | 1353424.0 | 37815.6 | 33145.89 | 902032.0 |
| Sub-total | | | 410318.73 | 370739.57 | N/A | N/A | 391029.75 | 335002.34 | N/A |
| Base Building | Common Areas | Landlord Controlled | | | | | | | |
| Dase Duituing | Shared Services | Landlord Controlled | | | | | | | |
| Tenant Spaces | Tenant Spaces | Landlord Controlled | | | | | | | |
| renant spaces | Tenant Spaces Tenant Spaces Tenant Co | | | | | | | | |
| Sub-total Sub-total | | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A | N/A | 0.0 | 0.0 | N/A | |
| Outdoor / Exterior areas / Parking Landlord Controlled Tenant Controlled | | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A | |
| | | Tenant Controlled | | | N/A | N/A | | | N/A |

| | Absolute Li | | | | Like-for-Like | | |
|-------|----------------------------------|-----------|-----|-----|----------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|
| | 2019 | 2020 20 | | | 2019 | 2020 | |
| | Consumption (m ³) | _ | | | Consumption (m ³) | _ | Floor Area Covered (sq. ft.) |
| Total | 410318.73 | 370739.57 | N/A | N/A | 391029.75 | 335002.34 | N/A |

The table above is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided at the asset level by the GRESB participants through the GRESB Asset Spreadsheet. It displays the aggregated Water consumption values per property type, along with their related Floor Area Covered, Maximum Floor Areas and Like-for-like consumption changes [%].

Total data coverage of the portfolio

Healthcare: Healthcare Center

| | Data Coverage | | Like-for-Like | | |
|------------------------|---------------|------|---------------|--------|--------------------------|
| | | | | , 33 3 | LFL data coverage (%) |
| Landlord Controlled | | | | | |
| Tenant Controlled | 38.44 | 71.0 | 37.89 | -3.05 | 32.36 |

Healthcare: Senior Homes

| | Data Coverage | | Like-for-Like | | |
|------------------------|---------------|------|---------------|--------|--------------------------|
| | | | | . 33 3 | LFL data coverage (%) |
| Landlord Controlled | 69.27 | 89.0 | 70.52 | -1.37 | 63.08 |
| Tenant Controlled | 66.41 | 62.0 | 64.7 | -1.29 | 53.02 |

Office: Corporate: Low-Rise Office

| | Data Coverage | | Like-for-Like | | |
|------------------------|---------------|-------|---------------|--------|--------------------------|
| | | | | . 33 3 | LFL data coverage (%) |
| Landlord Controlled | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | -30.05 | 70.94 |
| Tenant Controlled | | | | | |

Office: Medical Office

| | Data Coverage | | Like-for-Like | | |
|------------------------|---------------|------|---------------|--------|--------------------------|
| | | | | . 33 3 | LFL data coverage (%) |
| Landlord Controlled | 87.49 | 85.0 | 84.8 | -7.17 | 77.66 |
| Tenant Controlled | 19.03 | 11.0 | 18.32 | -6.36 | 14.73 |

Other

| | Data Coverage | | | Like-for-Like | | | |
|------------------------|---------------|-------|-------|---------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| | | | | . 33 3 | LFL data coverage (%) | | |
| Landlord Controlled | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | -48.57 | 100.0 | | |
| Tenant Controlled | | | | | | | |

Technology/Science: Laboratory/Life Sciences

| | Data Coverage | | | Like-for-Like | | |
|------------------------|---------------|-------|-------|---------------|--------------------------|--|
| | | | | . 33 3 | LFL data coverage (%) | |
| Landlord Controlled | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | -14.54 | 93.35 | |
| Tenant Controlled | 85.47 | 76.0 | 84.28 | -12.35 | 66.65 | |

The table above is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided at the asset level by the GRESB participants through the GRESB Asset Spreadsheet. It displays a summary of aggregated Data Coverages and Like-for-Like consumption changes per property type, split by Landlord Controlled and Tenant Controlled areas. While "Area - Aggregated Data coverage" only accounts for the floor area size of assets when aggregating values, "Time - Aggregated Data coverage" accounts for the period of ownership. Consequently, "Area/Time - Aggregated Data coverage" aggregates both dimensions and is used for benchmarking purposes.

Reused and recycled water

Healthcare: Healthcare Center

| | | 2019 | | 2020 | | |
|------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| | | Consumption (m ³) | % of total Consumption | Consumption (m ³) | % of total Consumption | 2020/2019 change (%) |
| | On-site water reuse | | | | | |
| On-site | On-site water capture | | | | | |
| | On-site water extraction | | | | | |
| On-site - | Sub-total | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Off-site | Off-site purchased | | | | | |
| Off-site - | Sub-total | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Reused a | nd Recycled - Total | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Healthcare: Senior Homes

| | | 2019 | | 2020 | | |
|------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| | | Consumption (m ³) | % of total Consumption | Consumption (m ³) | % of total Consumption | 2020/2019 change (%) |
| | On-site water reuse | | | | | |
| On-site | On-site water capture | | | | | |
| | On-site water extraction | | | | | |
| On-site - | Sub-total | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Off-site | Off-site purchased | | | | | |
| Off-site - | Sub-total | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Reused a | nd Recycled - Total | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Office: Corporate: Low-Rise Office

| | | 2019 | | 2020 | | |
|------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| | | Consumption (m ³) | % of total Consumption | Consumption (m ³) | % of total Consumption | 2020/2019 change (%) |
| | On-site water reuse | | | | | |
| On-site | On-site water capture | | | | | |
| | On-site water extraction | | | | | |
| On-site - | Sub-total | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Off-site | Off-site purchased | | | | | |
| Off-site - | Sub-total | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Reused a | nd Recycled - Total | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Office: Medical Office

| | | 2019 | | 2020 | | |
|------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| | | Consumption (m ³) | % of total Consumption | Consumption (m ³) | % of total Consumption | 2020/2019 change (%) |
| | On-site water reuse | | | | | |
| On-site | On-site water capture | | | | | |
| | On-site water extraction | | | | | |
| On-site - | Sub-total | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Off-site | Off-site purchased | | | | | |
| Off-site - | Sub-total | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Reused a | ınd Recycled - Total | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Other

| | | 2019 | | 2020 | | |
|------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| | | Consumption (m ³) | % of total Consumption | Consumption (m ³) | % of total Consumption | 2020/2019 change (%) |
| | On-site water reuse | | | | | |
| On-site | On-site water capture | | | | | |
| | On-site water extraction | | | | | |
| On-site - | Sub-total | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Off-site | Off-site purchased | | | | | |
| Off-site - | Sub-total | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Reused a | nd Recycled - Total | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Technology/Science: Laboratory/Life Sciences

| | | 2019 | | 2020 | | |
|------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| | | Consumption (m ³) | % of total Consumption | Consumption (m ³) | % of total Consumption | 2020/2019 change (%) |
| | On-site water reuse | | | | | |
| On-site | On-site water capture | | | | | |
| | On-site water extraction | | | | | |
| On-site - | Sub-total | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Off-site | Off-site purchased | | | | | |
| Off-site - | Sub-total | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Reused a | nd Recycled - Total | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

The table above is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided at the asset level by the GRESB participants through the GRESB Asset Spreadsheet. It displays the aggregated Reused and Recycled water captured/purchased per property type, on-site and off-site, as well as the Percentage of total Consumption by category.

Provide additional context for the answer provided (not validated, for reporting purposes only)

Entity & Reporting Characteristics > Entity Characteristics

WASTE

Waste Management



Waste management

Total waste generation of the portfolio

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

Healthcare: Healthcare Center

| | | Absolute | | | | | | |
|------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | | 2019 | | | 2020 | | | |
| | | Hazardous waste (tonnes) | Non-hazardous waste (tonnes) | Data coverage (%) | Hazardous waste (tonnes) | Non-hazardous waste (tonnes) | Data coverage (%) | Floor area weight |
| Whole Building | Landlord Controlled | | | | | | | % |
| | Tenant Controlled | | | 0.0 | | | 0.0 | 100.0% |
| Total waste generation | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0% |

Healthcare: Senior Homes

| | | Absolute | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|
| | | 2019 | | | 2020 | | | | |
| | | Hazardous waste (tonnes) | Non-hazardous waste (tonnes) | Data coverage (%) | Hazardous waste (tonnes) | Non-hazardous waste (tonnes) | Data coverage (%) | Floor area weight | |
| Whole Building | Landlord Controlled | 0.0 | 32601.64 | 57.19 | 0.0 | 34676.3 | 55.18 | 69.3% | |
| | Tenant Controlled | 0.0 | 16157.3 | 54.46 | 0.0 | 18264.42 | 57.24 | 30.7% | |
| Total waste generation | | 0.0 | 48758.94 | 111.65 | 0.0 | 52940.72 | 55.81 | 100.0% | |

Office: Corporate: Low-Rise Office

| | | Absolute | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|
| 2019 | | | | 2020 | | | | | |
| | | Hazardous waste (tonnes) | Non-hazardous waste (tonnes) | Data coverage (%) | Hazardous waste (tonnes) | Non-hazardous waste (tonnes) | Data coverage (%) | Floor area weight | |
| Whole Building | Landlord Controlled | 0.0 | 51.56 | 17.49 | 0.0 | 11.88 | 39.92 | 100.0% | |
| | Tenant Controlled | | | | | | | % | |
| Total waste generation | | 0.0 | 51.56 | 17.49 | 0.0 | 11.88 | 39.92 | 100.0% | |

Office: Medical Office

| | | Absolute | | | | | | |
|------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 2019 | | | | 2020 | | | | |
| | | Hazardous waste (tonnes) | Non-hazardous waste (tonnes) | Data coverage (%) | Hazardous waste (tonnes) | Non-hazardous waste (tonnes) | Data coverage (%) | Floor area weight |
| Whole Building | Landlord Controlled | 0.0 | 9019.11 | 65.75 | 0.0 | 12034.35 | 72.05 | 65.25% |
| Whole Building | Tenant Controlled | 0.0 | 837.69 | 8.87 | 0.0 | 696.99 | 10.71 | 34.75% |
| Total waste generation | | 0.0 | 9856.8 | 74.62 | 0.0 | 12731.34 | 50.73 | 100.0% |

0ther

| | | Absolute | | | | | | |
|------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | | 2019 | | | 2020 | | | |
| | | Hazardous waste (tonnes) | Non-hazardous waste (tonnes) | Data coverage (%) | Hazardous waste (tonnes) | Non-hazardous waste (tonnes) | Data coverage (%) | Floor area weight |
| Whole Building | Landlord Controlled | 0.0 | 7.53 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 18.14 | 100.0 | 100.0% |
| whole Building | Tenant Controlled | | | | | | | % |
| Total waste gene | ration | 0.0 | 7.53 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 18.14 | 100.0 | 100.0% |

Technology/Science: Laboratory/Life Sciences

| | | Absolute | | | | | | |
|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | | 2019 | | | 2020 | | | |
| | | Hazardous waste (tonnes) | Non-hazardous waste (tonnes) | Data coverage (%) | Hazardous waste (tonnes) | Non-hazardous waste (tonnes) | Data coverage (%) | Floor area weight |
| Whole Building | Landlord Controlled | 0.0 | 928.85 | 62.89 | 0.0 | 2102.37 | 69.1 | 71.63% |
| Whole Building | Tenant Controlled | 0.0 | 180.57 | 30.08 | 0.0 | 488.71 | 30.08 | 28.37% |
| Total waste gener | ration | 0.0 | 1109.42 | 92.97 | 0.0 | 2591.08 | 58.03 | 100.0% |

The table above is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided at the asset level by the GRESB participants through the GRESB Asset Spreadsheet. It displays the aggregated Hazardous and Non-hazardous waste quantities generated per property type, along with their related Data Coverage.

Healthcare: Healthcare Center

| | Proportion of waste by disposal route (%) | |
|------------------|-------------------------------------------|------|
| | 2019 | 2020 |
| Landfill | | |
| Incineration | | |
| Diverted (total) | | |
| Reuse | | |
| Waste to energy | | |
| Recycling | | |
| Other / Unknown | | |

Healthcare: Senior Homes

| | Proportion of waste by disposal route (%) | |
|------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------|
| | 2019 | 2020 |
| Landfill | 85.25 | 85.79 |
| Incineration | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Diverted (total) | 14.03 | 13.17 |
| Reuse | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Waste to energy | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Recycling | 14.03 | 13.17 |

| | Proportion of waste by disposal route (%) | |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------------|------|
| | 2019 | 2020 |
| Other / Unknown | 0.72 | 1.05 |

Office: Corporate: Low-Rise Office

| | Proportion of waste by disposal route (%) | |
|------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------|
| | 2019 | 2020 |
| Landfill | 85.31 | 76.34 |
| Incineration | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Diverted (total) | 14.69 | 23.66 |
| Reuse | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Waste to energy | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Recycling | 14.69 | 23.66 |
| Other / Unknown | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Office: Medical Office

| | Proportion of waste by disposal route (%) | |
|------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------|
| | 2019 | 2020 |
| Landfill | 68.88 | 91.63 |
| Incineration | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Diverted (total) | 31.12 | 8.29 |
| Reuse | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Waste to energy | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Recycling | 31.12 | 8.29 |
| Other / Unknown | 0.0 | 0.08 |

Other

| | Proportion of waste by disposal route [%] | |
|------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------|
| | 2019 | 2020 |
| Landfill | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Incineration | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Diverted (total) | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Reuse | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Waste to energy | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Recycling | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other / Unknown | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Technology/Science: Laboratory/Life Sciences

| | roportion of waste by disposal route [%] | | | |
|------------------|------------------------------------------|------|--|--|
| | 2019 | 2020 | | |
| Landfill | 68.82 | 82.9 | | |
| Incineration | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| Diverted (total) | 31.18 | 17.1 | | |
| Reuse | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| Waste to energy | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| Recycling | 31.18 | 17.1 | | |
| Other / Unknown | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |

The table above is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided at the asset level by the GRESB participants through the GRESB Asset Spreadsheet. It displays the proportion of waste by disposal route.

Entity & Reporting Characteristics > Entity Characteristics

DATA MONITORING AND REVIEW Review, verification and assurance of ESG data

| MF | R1 | Max. score 1.75 |
|------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Exte | ernal review of energy data | |
| Has | s the entity's energy consumption data reported in EN1 been reviewed by an independent third party? | |
| 0 | Yes Externally checked Externally verified Externally assured Using scheme ISAE 3000 | |
| | Provide applicable evidence | |
| | Provide hyperlink https://www.ventasreit.com/sites/default/files/pdf/GRESB_2021_Assurance.pdf | |
| | https://www.ventasreit.com/sites/default/files/pdf/GRESB_2021_Assurance.pdf | |
| | Indicate where the relevant information can be found | |
| | Existence of third-party review of energy consumption data reported in EN1 - please see Appendix Performance Tables by Property Type Type of third-party review and verification or assurance standard and statement - please see Level of Assurance section and Assurance Opinion s Proof that the energy consumption data review was performed for the underlying assets of the reporting entity - please see Scope of Assurance so MR1-MR4 Goby Third-Party Data Review Ventas.docx | |
| | Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found | |
| | Data also externally checked by Goby (see whole document) | |
| | Show investors | |
| 0 | No Not applicable | |
| MF | R2 | O Max. score 1.25 |
| Exte | ernal review of GHG data | |
| Has | s the entity's GHG data reported in GH1 been reviewed by an independent third party? | |
| 0 | Yes Externally checked Externally verified Externally assured Using scheme ISAE 3000 | |
| | Provide applicable evidence | |
| | Provide hyperlink https://www.ventasreit.com/sites/default/files/pdf/GRESB_2021_Assurance.pdf | |
| | https://www.ventasreit.com/sites/default/files/pdf/GRESB_2021_Assurance.pdf | |
| | Indicate where the relevant information can be found Existence of third-party review of energy consumption data reported in GH1 - please see Appendix Performance Tables by Property Type Type of third-party review and verification or assurance standard and statement - please see Level of Assurance section and Assurance Opinion s Proof that the energy consumption data review was performed for the underlying assets of the reporting entity - please see Scope of Assurance se | |
| | MR1-MR4_Goby Third-Party Data Review_Ventas.docx Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found | |
| | Data also externally checked by Goby (see whole document) | |
| | ■ Show investors | |
| | | |

| | ● Max. score 1.25 |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| External review of water data | |
| Has the entity's water data reported in WT1 been reviewed by an independent third party? | |
| Yes Externally checked Externally verified Externally assured Using scheme ISAE 3000 | |
| Provide applicable evidence | |
| Provide hyperlink https://www.ventasreit.com/sites/default/files/pdf/GRESB_2021_Assurance.pdf https://www.ventasreit.com/sites/default/files/pdf/GRESB_2021_Assurance.pdf Indicate where the relevant information can be found | |
| Existence of third-party review of energy consumption data reported in WT1 - please see Appe Type of third-party review and verification or assurance standard and statement - please see L Proof that the energy consumption data review was performed for the underlying assets of the | evel of Assurance section and Assurance Opinion section |
| MR1-MR4 Goby Third-Party Data Review Ventas.docx Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found | |
| Data also externally checked by Goby (see whole document) | |
| Show investors | |
| O No O Not applicable | |
| MR4 | ● Max. score 1.25 |
| External review of waste data | |
| Has the entity's waste data reported in WS1 been reviewed by an independent third party? | |
| YesExternally checked | |
| Externally verifiedExternally assuredUsing scheme ISAE 3000 | |
| Externally assured | |
| Using scheme ISAE 3000 | |
| Externally assured Using scheme ISAE 3000 Provide applicable evidence Provide hyperlink https://www.ventasreit.com/sites/default/files/pdf/GRESB_2021_Assurance.pdf https://www.ventasreit.com/sites/default/files/pdf/GRESB_2021_Assurance.pdf | |
| Externally assured Using scheme ISAE 3000 Provide applicable evidence Provide hyperlink https://www.ventasreit.com/sites/default/files/pdf/GRESB_2021_Assurance.pdf | evel of Assurance section (p. 1) and Assurance Opinion section (p. 4) |
| Using scheme ISAE 3000 Provide applicable evidence Provide hyperlink https://www.ventasreit.com/sites/default/files/pdf/GRESB_2021_Assurance.pdf https://www.ventasreit.com/sites/default/files/pdf/GRESB_2021_Assurance.pdf Indicate where the relevant information can be found Existence of third-party review of energy consumption data reported in EN1 - please see Appe Type of third-party review and verification or assurance standard and statement - please see L | evel of Assurance section (p. 1) and Assurance Opinion section (p. 4) |
| Using scheme ISAE 3000 Provide applicable evidence Provide hyperlink https://www.ventasreit.com/sites/default/files/pdf/GRESB_2021_Assurance.pdf https://www.ventasreit.com/sites/default/files/pdf/GRESB_2021_Assurance.pdf Indicate where the relevant information can be found Existence of third-party review of energy consumption data reported in EN1 - please see Appe Type of third-party review and verification or assurance standard and statement - please see L Proof that the energy consumption data review was performed for the underlying assets of the MR1-MR4_Goby Third-Party Data Review_Ventas.docx | evel of Assurance section (p. 1) and Assurance Opinion section (p. 4) |
| Using scheme ISAE 3000 Provide applicable evidence Provide hyperlink https://www.ventasreit.com/sites/default/files/pdf/GRESB_2021_Assurance.pdf https://www.ventasreit.com/sites/default/files/pdf/GRESB_2021_Assurance.pdf Indicate where the relevant information can be found Existence of third-party review of energy consumption data reported in EN1 - please see Appe Type of third-party review and verification or assurance standard and statement - please see L Proof that the energy consumption data review was performed for the underlying assets of the MR1-MR4_Goby Third-Party Data Review_Ventas.docx Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found | evel of Assurance section (p. 1) and Assurance Opinion section (p. 4) |

Entity & Reporting Characteristics > Entity Characteristics

BUILDING CERTIFICATIONS Building Certifications



Building certifications at the time of design/construction

Standing investments that obtained a green building certificate at the time of design, construction, and/or renovation

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

Office: Corporate: Low-Rise Office

| Scheme name / sub-scheme name / level | Area Certified (sq. ft.) | % of Floor Area certified (within property type) 2020 | Number of assets | % of GAV certified - optional (within property type) 2020 | |
|-------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| LEED/Building Design and Construction (BD+C) / Gold | 195056.0 | 29.06 | 1 | | 24.8982 |
| LEED/Building Design and Construction (BD+C) / Silver | 267941.0 | 39.92 | 1 | | 53.9916 |

Office: Medical Office

| Scheme name / sub-scheme name / level | Area Certified (sq. ft.) | % of Floor Area certified (within property type) 2020 | Number of assets | % of GAV certified - optional (within property type) 2020 |
|----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| LEED/Building Design and Construction (BD+C) / Certified | 301028.0 | 1.53 | 4 | 1.7772 |
| LEED/Building Design and Construction (BD+C) / Gold | 363754.0 | 1.85 | 2 | 3.8064 |
| LEED/Building Design and Construction (BD+C) / Silver | 75898.0 | 0.39 | 1 | 0.4845 |
| CALGreen/CALGreen | 239313.0 | 1.22 | 1 | 2.8885 |

Technology/Science: Laboratory/Life Sciences

| Scheme name / sub-scheme name / level | IArea Certified Isa ft I | % of Floor Area certified (within property type) 2020 | Number of assets | % of GAV certified - optional (within property type) 2020 |
|----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| LEED/Interior Design and Construction (ID+C) / Certified | 251801.0 | 5.28 | 1 | 6.1291 |
| LEED/Building Design and Construction (BD+C) / Certified | 453330.0 | 9.5 | 3 | 8.5132 |
| LEED/Building Design and Construction (BD+C) / Gold | 1179110.0 | 24.72 | 5 | 27.473 |
| LEED/Building Design and Construction (BD+C) / Platinum | 846218.0 | 17.74 | 3 | 13.4498 |
| LEED/Building Design and Construction (BD+C) / Silver | 466668.0 | 9.78 | 3 | 13.8135 |

Healthcare: Senior Homes

| Scheme name / sub-scheme name / level | LArea Certified Isa ft I | % of Floor Area certified (within property type) 2020 | Number of assets | % of GAV certified - optional (within property type) 2020 | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| LEED/for Homes / Gold | 186330.0 | 0.28 | 2 | | 0.6668 |
| LEED/for Homes / Silver | 545742.0 | 0.83 | 2 | | 0.7864 |

| Scheme name / sub-scheme name / level | I Area Certified Iso. ft.l | % of Floor Area certified (within property type) 2020 | Number of assets | % of GAV certified - optional (within property type) 2020 |
|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| LEED/Building Design and Construction (BD+C) / Certified | 93857.0 | 0.14 | 1 | 0.1843 |
| LEED/Building Design and Construction (BD+C) / Gold | 271728.0 | 0.41 | 2 | 0.6087 |
| LEED/Building Design and Construction (BD+C) / Silver | 176865.0 | 0.27 | 2 | 0.52 |
| LEED/Interior Design and Construction (ID+C) / Certified | 262585.0 | 0.4 | 3 | 0.5669 |
| CALGreen/CALGreen | 225400.0 | 0.34 | 3 | 0.5461 |

BC1.2

⊙ Max. score 8.5

Operational building certifications

Standing investments that hold a valid operational green building certificate

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

Office: Medical Office

| Scheme name / sub-scheme name / level | I Area Cartified Ica ft I | % of Floor Area certified (within property type) 2020 | Number of assets | % of GAV certified - optional (within property type) 2020 | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| IREM Certified Sustainable Properties/IREM Certified Sustainable Properties | 179264.0 | 0.91 | 2 | | 1.9089 |

Technology/Science: Laboratory/Life Sciences

| Scheme name / sub-scheme name / level | I Area Certified Iso ft I | % of Floor Area certified (within property type) 2020 | Number of assets | % of GAV certified - optional (within property type) 2020 | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| BOMA/360 | 413640.0 | 8.67 | 2 | 2 | 7.5327 |

BC2

⊙ Max. score 2

Energy Ratings

Standing investments that hold a valid energy rating

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

Office: Corporate: Low-Rise Office

| Energy Rating | I Area Covered Isa ft I | % of Floor Area covered (within property type) 2020 | Number of assets | % GAV covered - optional (within property type) 2020 | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| Energy Star Certified - 90-95 Points | 385373.0 | 57.4116 | 2 | 2 | 63.705 |
| Energy Star Portfolio Manager | 285873.0 | 42.5884 | 2 | | 36.295 |

Technology/Science: Laboratory/Life Sciences

| Energy Rating | IArea Covered leg ft l | % of Floor Area covered (within property type) 2020 | Number of assets | % GAV covered - optional (within property type) 2020 | |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Energy Star Portfolio Manager | 2095917.0 | 43.933 | 10 | | 47.2336 |

Healthcare: Senior Homes

| Energy Rating | I Area Covered Iso ft I | % of Floor Area covered (within property type) 2020 | Number of assets | % GAV covered - optional (within property type) 2020 |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| Energy Star Certified - 96-100 Points | 1952084.0 | 2.9774 | 18 | 3.3292 |
| Energy Star Certified - 90-95 Points | 1883159.0 | 2.8723 | 28 | 4.3377 |
| Energy Star Portfolio Manager | 17185398.0 | 26.2123 | 225 | 32.2276 |
| Energy Star Certified - 75-79 Points | 3159397.0 | 4.8189 | 33 | 5.7269 |
| Energy Star Certified - 80-84 Points | 1776130.0 | 2.7091 | 22 | 3.1127 |
| Energy Star Certified - 85-89 Points | 1681268.0 | 2.5644 | 15 | 3.4747 |

DEV - REPORTING CHARACTERISTICS

Reporting Characteristics

DR1.1

Composition of the entity's development projects portfolio during the reporting year

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

| | | In progress at the end of reporting period | | Completed during reporting period | | |
|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|
| Property Type | Construction/ Renovation | Number of Assets | Gross Floor Area (sq. ft.) | Number of Assets | Gross Floor Area (sq. ft.) | % GAV |
| Office: Medical Office | New Construction Project | 5 | 436776.0 | 1 | 66043.0 | 15.26 |
| Technology/Science: Laboratory/Life Sciences | New Construction Project | 5 | 1222692.0 | 1 | 227112.0 | 25.06 |
| Healthcare: Healthcare Center | New Construction Project | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 48000.0 | 2.49 |
| Healthcare: Senior Homes | New Construction Project | 6 | 1574388.0 | 8 | 1515275.0 | 57.2 |
| Total | | 16 | 3233856.0 | 11 | 1856430.0 | 100.0 |

Note: The table above defines the scope of your 2021 GRESB submission on development projects. It should include new construction and major renovations projects that are in progress at the end of reporting year, as well as projects that are completed during the reporting year. The reporting scope reported above should exclude vacant land, cash or other non real estate assets owned by the entity.

*% GAV represented as the share of the development projects within the entire development portfolio (including both new construction and major renovations)

Provide applicable evidence

DR1.1 Supporting Scope Evidence Main Portfolio v02.docx Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

ΔΠ



Show investors

Provide additional context on how the uploaded evidence supports the entity's reporting boundaries and portfolio composition (maximum 250 words)

The asset list includes all Ventas-owned development properties that were owned at any time during the reporting year, including both completed and ongoing development assets.

DR1.2

Countries/states included in the entity's development projects portfolio

The indicator below is automatically populated by GRESB based on information provided through the reporting entity's GRESB Asset Portal. Participants can access the Asset Portal via the Assessment Portal menu, section ASSETS.

| Country | % GAV |
|--------------------------|---------|
| United States of America | 77.5951 |
| Canada | 22.4049 |

DEV - ESG REQUIREMENTS

ESG Requirements

DRE1 Max. score 4

ESG strategy during development

Does the entity have an ESG strategy in place for development projects?

Elements addressed in the strategy (multiple answers possible)

- Biodiversity and habitat
- Building safety
- Climate/climate change adaptation
- Energy consumption
- Green building certifications
- Greenhouse gas emissions
- Health and well-being
- Indoor environmental quality
- Life-cycle assessments/embodied carbon
- Location and transportation
- Material sourcing
- Net-zero/carbon neutral design
- ✓ Pollution prevention
- Renewable energy
- Resilience to catastrophe/disaster
- Site selection and land use
- Sustainable procurement
- Waste management
- Water consumption
- Other

Other selected. Please describe

Supply chain, Public outreach and engagement

The strategy is

Publicly available

Not publicly available

Provide applicable evidence

Provide hyperlink

https://ventasreit.com/corporate-responsibility/performance

https://ventasreit.com/corporate-responsibility/performance

Indicate where the relevant information can be found

Whole page, especially LEED goal (Ventas has set a goal to achieve LEED Silver certification or better on 100% of our \$1.5 billion Research & Innovation development

LEED v4 for Building Design and Construction 1 PAGE (2).xlsx Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

Whole document; To build to LEED, projects must meet prerequisites in pollution prevention, water use, energy performance (which is directly related to emissions), waste management (via storage of recyclables), and indoor air quality.

✓ Show investors

Communicate the objectives and explain how they are integrated into the overall business strategy (maximum 250 words)

Ventas's new developments and major renovations seek LEED certification and thus meet high standards for sustainable development. Ventas thoughtfully and purposefully promotes sustainability in its communities and regularly includes energy-efficient lighting and appliances, water-efficient landscaping (plants and irrigation systems), low-flow fixtures, ENERGY STAR-certified appliances, high-performance exterior doors, green housekeeping, recycling and landscape projects, on-site storage treatment of storm water to reduce erosion and green building education for our residents and guests. All new developments in our Office and Senior Housing Operating platforms are incorporated into utility bill payment systems that are rolled up to our centralized utility platform (managed and verified by a third party), giving us visibility into energy, water and waste consumption.

O No

DRE2

O Max. score 4 | Score 2020: 4/4 ✓ Pre-filled from 2020

Does the entity require sustainable site selection criteria to be considered for development projects?

O Yes

Select all criteria included (multiple answers possible)

Connect to multi-modal transit networks
Locate projects within existing developed areas
Protect, restore, and conserve aquatic ecosystems
Protect, restore, and conserve farmland
Protect, restore, and conserve floodplain functions
Protect, restore, and conserve habitats for native, threatened and endangered species
Protect, restore, and conserve historical and heritage sites
Redevelop brownfield sites
Other

No

Site design and construction requirements

Does the entity have sustainable site design/construction requirements for development projects?

Yes

Select all criteria included (multiple answers possible)

Manage waste by diverting construction and demolition materials from disposal

Manage waste by diverting reusable vegetation, rocks, and soil from disposal

Minimize light pollution to the surrounding community

Minimize noise pollution to the surrounding community

Perform environmental site assessment

Protect air quality during construction

✓ Protect and restore habitat and soils disturbed during construction and/or during previous development

Protect surface water and aquatic ecosystems by controlling and retaining construction pollutants

Other

O No

DEV - MATERIALS

Materials

| DMA1 | O Max. score 6 |
|------|----------------|

Materials selection requirements

Does the entity have a policy requiring that the environmental and health attributes of building materials be considered for development projects?

Select all issues addressed (multiple answers possible)

- 🔽 Requirement for disclosure about the environmental and/or health attributes of building materials (multiple answers possible)
 - Environmental Product Declarations
 - **Health Product Declarations**
 - Other types of required health and environmental disclosure:
- Material characteristics specification preferences, including (multiple answers possible)
 - Locally extracted or recovered materials
 - Low embodied carbon materials
 - ✓ Low-emitting VOC materials
 - Materials and packaging that can easily be recycled
 - Materials that disclose environmental impacts
 - Materials that disclose potential health hazards
 - Rapidly renewable materials and recycled content materials
 - 💟 "Red list" of prohibited materials or ingredients that should not be used on the basis of their human and/or environmental impacts
 - Third-party certified wood-based materials and products

Types of third-party certification used:

Forest Stewardship Council (FSC), Program for the Endorsement of Forest Certification schemes (PEFC), Appalachian Hardwood Manufacturers, Inc. (AHMI) Sustainable Hardwood Forest

Other

Provide applicable evidence

<u>LEED v4 BDC 2019 intro.pdf</u> Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

Materials & Resources section (credits found here: https://www.usgbc.org/

credits? Version = %22v4%22& Rating + System = %22New + Construction%22& Category = %22Material + %26 + resources%22]; Ventas has set a goal to achieve LEED Silver and the sum of the sucertification or better on 100% of our \$1.5 billion Research & Innovation development pipeline. Building to LEED means considering environmental and health attributes of materials including but not limited to the specifications above. Note: locally extracted or recovered materials and third-party certified wood-based materials are also considered and used where possible.

Show investors

O No

DMA2.1

Life cycle assessments

Does the entity assess the life cycle emissions of its development projects?

Yes

Select the type of assessment:

Quantitative assessment

Qualitative assessment

Select the boundaries of the calculation applied:

- ✓ Cradle-to-gate
- Cradle-to-practical completion/handover
- Use stage
- End-of-life stage
- Cradle-to-grave
- Whole life
- Other

Select the standards/methodologies/tools applied:

BBCA Label (Bâtiment Bas Carbone)

| | E+C- Label (Énergie Positive & Réduction Carbone) |
|---|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Embodied Carbon in Construction Calculator (EC3) Tool |
| | ■ EN 15978 |
| | ■ EN 15804 |
| | GHG Protocol - Product Life Cycle Accounting and Reporting Standard |
| | ■ ISO 14040/44 |
| | ■ ISO 14025 |
| | One Click LCA |
| | ■ The Carbon Smart Materials Palette® |
| | Whole life carbon assessment for the built environment, RICS |
| | ■ Other |
| | Percentage of development projects completed during the last three years using any calculation method |
| | |
| | Percentage of development projects completed during the last three years using the whole life LCA |
| | |
| | |
| | Explain the embodied carbon calculation method applied and the results of the assessment (maximum 250 words) |
| | Ventas estimates the embodied carbon from our development projects by using an estimated carbon intensity per square foot of development, and applying this intensity to the total square feet of development completed during the reporting year, multiplied by the percent of spend of total project cost (percent spend is use as a proxy for the percent of the project complete during the year). The embodied carbon intensity was calculated by customizing public templates in the EC3 tool replicate a sample of our development projects. |
| 0 | No |

DMA2.2

Embodied carbon disclosure

Has the entity disclosed the embodied carbon emissions of its development projects completed within the last three years?

YesNoNot applicable

DEV - BUILDING CERTIFICATIONS

Building Certifications

Green building standard requirements

Does the entity's development portfolio include projects that are aligned with green building rating standards?

Yes

Select all applicable options (multiple answers possible)

The entity requires projects to align with requirements of a third-party green building rating system but does not require certification Percentage of portfolio covered

62

Green building rating systems (include all that apply):

We expect all of our development to be done in a responsible manner, indicating LEED as a proxy

The entity requires projects to achieve certification with a green building rating system but does not require a specific level of certification Percentage of portfolio covered

13

Green building rating systems (include all that apply):

LEED

The entity requires projects to achieve a specific (above the minimum) level of certification Percentage of portfolio covered

25

Green building rating systems (include all that apply):

LEED

Level of certification (above the minimum) adopted as a standard by the entity (include all applicable rating systems):

Silver

O No

DBC1.2



Green building certifications

Does the entity's development portfolio include projects that obtained or are registered to obtain a green building certificate?

Yes

Specify the certification scheme(s) used and the percentage of the portfolio registered and/or certified (multiple answers possible):

Projects registered to obtain a green building certificate at the end of reporting year

| Scheme name / sub-scheme name | Area Registered (sq. ft.) | % portfolio covered by floor area 2020 | Number of assets | % GAV covered - optional 2020 |
|----------------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|
| LEED/Building Design and Construction (BD+C) | 1,941,481 | 33 | 8 | 38 |
| LEED/Interior Design and Construction (ID+C) | 212,708 | 4 | 2 | 9 |
| LEED/for Homes | 59,663 | 1 | 1 | 4 |

Projects that obtained a green building certificate or official pre-certification during the reporting year

O No

O Not applicable

DEV - ENERGY CONSUMPTION

Energy DEN₁ Max. score 6 Energy efficiency requirements Does the entity have minimum energy efficiency requirements for development projects? Yes Requirements for planning and design include (multiple answers possible) Development and implementation of a commissioning plan Integrative design process To exceed relevant energy codes or standards Requirements for minimum energy use intensity post-occupancy Other Provide applicable evidence <u>LEED.pdf</u> Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found P. 31 (51 of PDF): Exceed relevant energy codes or standards - Ventas has set a goal to achieve LEED Silver certification or better on 100% of our publicly stated Research & Innovation development pipeline; see LEED NC EA Prerequisite 2: Minimum Energy Performance; Show investors LEED v4_BDC_2019_intro.pdf Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found Integrative design process - p. 10 (16 of PDF) - See Taking an Integrative Approach to Design and Construction section; Ventas works closely with development partners throughout the entire construction process, including communicating the importance of green/sustainable building early on Show investors Common energy efficiency measures include (multiple answers possible) Air conditioning Commissioning Energy modeling High-efficiency equipment and appliances Lighting Occupant controls Passive design Space heating Ventilation Water heating Other Operational energy efficiency monitoring (multiple answers possible) Building energy management systems Energy use analytics Post-construction energy monitoring For on average years 10 Sub-meter

Other

O No

DEN2.1 Max. score 6

On-site renewable energy

Does the entity incorporate on-site renewable energy in the design of development projects?

O Yes

No

Not applicable

DEN2.2

O Max. score 2 | Score 2020: 0/2 ✓ Pre-filled from 2020

Entity & Reporting Characteristics > Entity Characteristics

 $Does the \ entity's \ portfolio \ include \ any \ buildings \ designed \ to \ meet \ net \ zero \ carbon \ completed \ within \ the \ last \ three \ years?$



DEV - WATER USE

Water Conservation

Water conservation strategy

Does the entity promote water conservation in its development projects?

Yes

The entity promotes water conservation through (multiple answers possible)

- Requirements for planning and design include (multiple answers possible)
 - Development and implementation of a commissioning plan
 - Integrative design for water conservation
 - ✓ Requirements for indoor water efficiency
 - Requirements for outdoor water efficiency
 - Requirements for process water efficiency
 - Requirements for water supply
 - Requirements for minimum water use intensity post-occupancy
 - Other

Provide applicable evidence

LEED v4 for Building Design and Construction 1 PAGE [2].xlsx Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

Whole page, particularly sustainable sites and water efficiency credits

- Show investors
- Common water efficiency measures include (multiple answers possible)
 - Commissioning of water systems
 - ✓ Drip/smart irrigation
 - Drought tolerant/low-water landscaping
 - ✓ High-efficiency/dry fixtures
 - ✓ Leak detection system
 - Occupant sensors
 - On-site wastewater treatment
 - Reuse of stormwater and greywater for non-potable applications
 - Other
- Operational water efficiency monitoring (multiple answers possible)
 - Post-construction water monitoring For on average years

10

- Sub-meter
- ✓ Water use analytics
- Other
- O No

DEV - WASTE MANAGEMENT

Waste Management

DWS1

O Max. score 5 | Score 2020: 5/5 ✓ Pre-filled from 2020

Waste management strategy

Does the entity promote efficient on-site solid waste management during the construction phase of its development projects?

Yes

The entity promotes efficient solid waste management through (multiple answers possible)

- Management and construction practices (multiple answers possible)
 - ✓ Construction waste signage
 - Diversion rate requirements
 - ✓ Education of employees/contractors on waste management
 - Incentives for contractors for recovering, reusing and recycling building materials
 - ▼ Targets for waste stream recovery, reuse and recycling
 - Waste management plans
 - ✓ Waste separation facilities
 - Other
- On-site waste monitoring (multiple answers possible)
 - Hazardous waste monitoring/audit
 - ✓ Non-hazardous waste monitoring/audit
 - Other
- O No

DEV - STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT

Health, Safety & Well-being

| Does the entity take measures to incorporate occupant health & well-being in its development projects? ② Yes The entity addresses health and well-being in the design of its project/building through [multiple answers possible] ② Requirements for planning and design, including [multiple answers possible] ② Health Impact Assessment ② Integrated planning process ③ Other planning process ③ Other planning process ③ Other planning process ③ Common occupant health and well-being measures, including [multiple answers possible] ④ Acoustic comfort ② Active design features ⑤ Biophitic design ② Commissioning ② Daylitht ⑤ Ergonomic workplace ● Humidity ⑥ Illumination ◎ Inclusive design ○ Indoor air quality ② Natural ventilation ② Occupant controls ◎ Physical activity ● Thermal comfort ◎ Water quality ② Other ○ Other ○ Other ○ Other ○ Other Other selected. Please describe ■ Provisions to verify health and well-being monitoring [e.g., occupant comfort and satisfaction] ⑤ For on average years ⑤ Other ○ No DSE2.1 ○ Max. score 1.5 Score 2020: 1.5/1.5 ✓ Pr On-site safety Does the entity promote on-site safety during the construction phase of its development projects? ○ Yes | O Max. score 2 |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| The entity addresses health and well-being in the design of its project/building through [multiple answers possible] ✓ Requirements for planning and design, including [multiple answers possible] ✓ Health Impact Assessment ✓ Integrated planning process ─ Other planning process ─ Other planning process ✓ Common occupant health and well-being measures, including (multiple answers possible) — Acoustic comfort ✓ Active design features ■ Biophilic design ✓ Commissioning ○ Daylight — Ergonomic workplace Humidity ■ Illumination Inclusive design ✓ Indoor air quality ✓ Natural ventilation ✓ Provision for active transport, access to spaces for active and passive recreation ✓ Provisions for active transport, access to spaces for active and passive recreation ✓ Provisions to verify health and well-being performance include (multiple answers possible) ✓ Occupant education ✓ Post-construction health and well-being monitoring (e.g., occupant comfort and satisfaction) For on average years ☐ Other On-site safety Does the entity promote on-site safety during the construction phase of its development projects? | |
| The entity addresses health and well-being in the design of its project/building through (multiple answers possible) Requirements for planning and design, including (multiple answers possible) Health Impact Assessment Integrated planning process Other planning process Common occupant health and well-being measures, including (multiple answers possible) Acoustic comfort Active design features Biophilic design Commissioning Daylight Ergonomic workplace Humidity Illumination Inclusive design Indoor air quality Natural ventilation Vocupant controls Physical activity Thermal comfort Water quality Other Other Other Other other selected. Please describe Provisions to verify health and well-being performance include (multiple answers possible) Occupant education Past-construction health and well-being monitoring (e.g., occupant comfort and satisfaction) For on average years On-site safety Does the entity promote on-site safety during the construction phase of its development projects? | |
| Requirements for planning and design, including (multiple answers possible) Health Impact Assessment Integrated planning process Common occupant health and well-being measures, including (multiple answers possible) Acoustic comfort Active design features Biophilic design Commissioning Daylight Ergonomic workplace Humidity Illumination Inclusive design Inclusive design Cocupant controls Physical activity Thermal comfort Water quality Other Other selected. Please describe Provisions to verify health and well-being monitoring (e.g., occupant comfort and satisfaction) For on average years 1 Other Other Other Other Other Other Other Other Descending the answers possible of the selection of the selecti | |
| Water quality Other Other other of access to spaces for active and passive recreation Provisions to verify health and well-being monitoring (e.g., occupant comfort and satisfaction) Provisions to verify health and well-being monitoring (e.g., occupant comfort and satisfaction) Post-construction health and well-being monitoring (e.g., occupant comfort and satisfaction) On-site safety On-site safety Does the entity promote on-site safety during the construction phase of its development projects? | |
| Integrated planning process Other planning process Other planning process Common occupant health and well-being measures, including [multiple answers possible] Acoustic comfort Active design features Biophilic design Commissioning Daylight Ergonomic workplace Humidity Illumination Inclusive design Indoor air quality Natural ventilation Occupant controls Physical activity Thermal comfort Water quality Other Other selected. Please describe Provisions for active transport, access to spaces for active and passive recreation Provisions to verify health and well-being performance include (multiple answers possible) Occupant education Post-construction health and well-being monitoring (e.g., occupant comfort and satisfaction) For on average years Other Other | |
| Other planning process Common occupant health and well-being measures, including (multiple answers possible) Acoustic comfort Active design features Biophilic design Commissioning Daylight Ergonomic workplace Humidity Illumination Inclusive design Indoor air quality Natural ventilation Occupant controls Physical activity Thermal comfort Water quality Other Other Other selected. Please describe Provisions for active transport, access to spaces for active and passive recreation Provisions to verify health and well-being performance include (multiple answers possible) Occupant education Post-construction health and well-being monitoring (e.g., occupant comfort and satisfaction) For on average years Other On-site safety Does the entity promote on-site safety during the construction phase of its development projects? | |
| Common occupant health and well-being measures, including (multiple answers possible) Acoustic comfort Active design features Biophilic design Commissioning Daylight Ergonomic workplace Humidity Illumination Inclusive design Inclusive design Occupant controls Physical activity Natural ventilation Occupant controls Physical activity Thermal comfort Water quality Other Other selected. Please describe Provisions for active transport, access to spaces for active and passive recreation Provisions to verify health and well-being performance include (multiple answers possible) Cocupant education Post-construction health and well-being monitoring (e.g., occupant comfort and satisfaction) For on average years Other Other Other Other Other selected. Please describe Provisions to verify health and well-being monitoring (e.g., occupant comfort and satisfaction) For on average years Other Oth | |
| Acoustic comfort Active design features Biophilic design Commissioning Daylight Ergonomic workplace Humidity Illumination Inclusive design Indoor air quality Natural ventilation Occupant controls Physical activity Thermal comfort Water quality Other Other Selected. Please describe Provisions for active transport, access to spaces for active and passive recreation Provisions to verify health and well-being performance include (multiple answers possible) Cocupant education Post-construction health and well-being monitoring (e.g., occupant comfort and satisfaction) For on average years Other No Desc. 1 Other Max. score 1.5 Score 2020: 1.5/1.5 ✓ Pr On-site safety Does the entity promote on-site safety during the construction phase of its development projects? | |
| Active design features Biophitic design Commissioning Daylight Ergonomic workplace Humidity Illumination Inclusive design Indoor air quality Natural ventilation Occupant controls Physical activity Thermal comfort Water quality Other Other selected. Please describe Provisions for active transport, access to spaces for active and passive recreation Provisions to verify health and well-being performance include (multiple answers possible) Occupant controls Post-construction health and well-being monitoring (e.g., occupant comfort and satisfaction) For on average years Other Max. score 1.5 Score 2020: 1.5/1.5 ✓ Pr On-site safety Does the entity promote on-site safety during the construction phase of its development projects? | |
| Biophilic design Commissioning Daytight Ergonomic workplace Humidity Illumination Inclusive design Vindoor air quality Natural ventilation Cocupant controls Physical activity Thermal comfort Water quality Other Other selected. Please describe Provisions for active transport, access to spaces for active and passive recreation Provisions to verify health and well-being performance include (multiple answers possible) Cocupant education Post-construction health and well-being monitoring (e.g., occupant comfort and satisfaction) For on average years Other On-site safety Does the entity promote on-site safety during the construction phase of its development projects? | |
| Daylight Ergonomic workplace Humidity Illumination Inclusive design Inclu | |
| Ergonomic workplace Humidity Illumination Inclusive design Indoor air quality Natural ventilation Occupant controls Physical activity Thermal comfort Water quality Other Other selected. Please describe Provisions for active transport, access to spaces for active and passive recreation ✓ Provisions to verify health and well-being performance include (multiple answers possible) ✓ Occupant education ✓ Post-construction health and well-being monitoring (e.g., occupant comfort and satisfaction) For on average years ✓ Other Other On-site safety Does the entity promote on-site safety during the construction phase of its development projects? | |
| Humidity Illumination Inclusive design ✓ Indoor air quality ✓ Natural ventilation ✓ Occupant controls Physical activity Thermal comfort Water quality ✓ Other Other selected. Please describe Provisions for active transport, access to spaces for active and passive recreation ✓ Provisions for active transport, access to spaces for active and passive recreation ✓ Post-construction health and well-being performance include (multiple answers possible) ✓ Occupant education ✓ Post-construction health and well-being monitoring (e.g., occupant comfort and satisfaction) For on average years ✓ Other Other On-site safety Does the entity promote on-site safety during the construction phase of its development projects? | |
| Illumination Inclusive design Valor in quality Natural ventilation Occupant controls Physical activity Thermal comfort Water quality Other Other Other Selected. Please describe Provisions for active transport, access to spaces for active and passive recreation Provisions to verify health and well-being performance include (multiple answers possible) Occupant education Post-construction health and well-being monitoring (e.g., occupant comfort and satisfaction) For on average years Other Other On-site safety Does the entity promote on-site safety during the construction phase of its development projects? | |
| Indoor air quality Natural ventilation Occupant controls Physical activity Thermal comfort Water quality Other Other selected. Please describe Provisions for active transport, access to spaces for active and passive recreation Provisions to verify health and well-being performance include (multiple answers possible) | |
| Natural ventilation Occupant controls Physical activity Thermal comfort Water quality Other Other selected. Please describe Provisions for active transport, access to spaces for active and passive recreation ✓ Provisions to verify health and well-being performance include (multiple answers possible) ✓ Occupant education ✓ Post-construction health and well-being monitoring (e.g., occupant comfort and satisfaction) For on average years 1 Other On-site safety Does the entity promote on-site safety during the construction phase of its development projects? | |
| Occupant controls Physical activity Thermal comfort Water quality Other Other selected. Please describe Provisions for active transport, access to spaces for active and passive recreation ✓ Provisions to verify health and well-being performance include (multiple answers possible) ✓ Occupant education ✓ Post-construction health and well-being monitoring (e.g., occupant comfort and satisfaction) For on average years 1 Other On-site safety Does the entity promote on-site safety during the construction phase of its development projects? | |
| Physical activity Thermal comfort Water quality Other Other selected. Please describe Provisions for active transport, access to spaces for active and passive recreation ✓ Provisions to verify health and well-being performance include (multiple answers possible) ✓ Occupant education ✓ Post-construction health and well-being monitoring (e.g., occupant comfort and satisfaction) For on average years 1 Other Other Max. score 1.5 Score 2020: 1.5/1.5 ✓ Pr On-site safety Does the entity promote on-site safety during the construction phase of its development projects? | |
| Water quality Other Other selected. Please describe Provisions for active transport, access to spaces for active and passive recreation Provisions to verify health and well-being performance include (multiple answers possible) Occupant education Post-construction health and well-being monitoring (e.g., occupant comfort and satisfaction) For on average years Other Max. score 1.5 Score 2020: 1.5/1.5 ✓ Pr On-site safety Does the entity promote on-site safety during the construction phase of its development projects? | |
| Other Other selected. Please describe Provisions for active transport, access to spaces for active and passive recreation Provisions to verify health and well-being performance include (multiple answers possible) Occupant education Post-construction health and well-being monitoring (e.g., occupant comfort and satisfaction) For on average years Other Max. score 1.5 Score 2020: 1.5/1.5 ✓ Pr On-site safety Does the entity promote on-site safety during the construction phase of its development projects? | |
| Other selected. Please describe Provisions for active transport, access to spaces for active and passive recreation Provisions to verify health and well-being performance include (multiple answers possible) Occupant education Post-construction health and well-being monitoring (e.g., occupant comfort and satisfaction) For on average years Other Max. score 1.5 Score 2020: 1.5/1.5 ✓ Pr On-site safety Does the entity promote on-site safety during the construction phase of its development projects? | |
| Provisions for active transport, access to spaces for active and passive recreation ✓ Provisions to verify health and well-being performance include (multiple answers possible) ✓ Occupant education ✓ Post-construction health and well-being monitoring (e.g., occupant comfort and satisfaction) For on average years 1 Other On-site safety Does the entity promote on-site safety during the construction phase of its development projects? | |
| ✓ Occupant education ✓ Post-construction health and well-being monitoring (e.g., occupant comfort and satisfaction) For on average years 1 Other Ostario Max. score 1.5 Score 2020: 1.5/1.5 ✓ Pr On-site safety Does the entity promote on-site safety during the construction phase of its development projects? | |
| DSE2.1 On-site safety Does the entity promote on-site safety during the construction phase of its development projects? | |
| DSE2.1 ⊙ Max. score 1.5 Score 2020: 1.5/1.5 ✓ Pr On-site safety Does the entity promote on-site safety during the construction phase of its development projects? | |
| On-site safety Does the entity promote on-site safety during the construction phase of its development projects? | |
| On-site safety Does the entity promote on-site safety during the construction phase of its development projects? | a-filled from 2020 |
| Does the entity promote on-site safety during the construction phase of its development projects? | nace non 2020 |
| | |
| ○ Yes | |
| | |
| The entity promotes on-site safety through (multiple answers possible) | |
| Availability of medical personnel Communicating safety information Continuously improving safety performance Demonstrating safety leadership Entrenching safety practices Managing safety risks On-site health and safety professional (coordinator) Personal Protective and Life Saving Equipment Promoting design for safety Training curriculum | |

O No

Other

✓ Labor standards and working conditions

Other Percentage of projects covered

100

O No

| Safety metrics Does the entity monitor safety indicators at construction sites? Yes Select all applicable options (multiple answers possible) Injury rate 0.73 Explain the injury rate calculation method (maximum 250 words) Ventas's development partners (primarily Atria Senior Living, Pacific Medical Buildings, Sunrise, and Wexford Science + Technology) are required to con OSHA and follow the OSHA Recordable Incident Rate methodology. To our knowledge, there were ten recordable injuries during the reporting year. The Recordable incident Rate was calculated dividing the number of recordable incidents during the reporting year by the total estimated number of workers construction sites during her reporting year. We have estimated that the total number of workers on our projects at any given time were to be on average workers for smaller projects, and on average 195 for larger projects. This equals an injury rate of 0.73%. Fatalities □ Ventaring the reporting year. We have estimated that the total number of workers on our projects at any given time were to be on average workers for smaller projects, and on average 195 for larger projects. This equals an injury rate of 0.73%. Fatalities □ Lost day rate 0.0003 Severity rate 0 ther metrics | core 1.5 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| Does the entity monitor safety indicators at construction sites? Yes Select all applicable options (multiple answers possible) Injury rate 0.73 Explain the injury rate calculation method (maximum 250 words) Ventas's development partners (primarily Atria Senior Living, Pacific Medical Buildings, Sunrise, and Wexford Science + Technology) are required to con OSHA and follow the OSHA Recordable Incident Rate methodology. To our knowledge, there were ten recordable injuries during the reporting year. We have estimated that the total number of workers on our projects at any given time were to be on average workers for smaller projects, and on average 195 for larger projects. This equals an injury rate of 0.73%. Patalities Near misses Near misses Severity rate Other metrics | |
| Select all applicable options (multiple answers possible) Injury rate O.73 Explain the injury rate calculation method (maximum 250 words) Ventas's development partners (primarily Atria Senior Living, Pacific Medical Buildings, Sunrise, and Wexford Science + Technology) are required to con OSHA and follow the OSHA Recordable Incident Rate methodology. To our knowledge, there were ten recordable injuries during the reporting year. The Recordable Incident Rate was calculated dividing the number of recordable incidents during the reporting year by the total estimated number of workers construction sites during the reporting year. We have estimated that the total number of workers on our projects at any given time were to be on average workers for smaller projects, and on average 195 for larger projects. This equals an injury rate of 0.73%. Fatalities O Near misses O Severity rate Other metrics | |
| Select all applicable options (multiple answers possible) Injury rate | |
| ✓ Injury rate 0.73 Explain the injury rate calculation method (maximum 250 words) Ventas's development partners (primarily Atria Senior Living, Pacific Medical Buildings, Sunrise, and Wexford Science + Technology) are required to con OSHA and follow the OSHA Recordable Incident Rate methodology. To our knowledge, there were ten recordable injuries during the reporting year. The Recordable Incident Rate was calculated dividing the number of recordable incidents during the reporting year by the total estimated that the total number of workers on our projects at any given time were to be on average workers for smaller projects, and on average 195 for larger projects. This equals an injury rate of 0.73%. ✓ Fatalities ○ ✓ Near misses ○ ✓ Lost day rate ○.0003 Severity rate ○ Other metrics | |
| Explain the injury rate calculation method (maximum 250 words) Ventas's development partners (primarily Atria Senior Living, Pacific Medical Buildings, Sunrise, and Wexford Science + Technology) are required to con OSHA and follow the OSHA Recordable Incident Rate methodology. To our knowledge, there were ten recordable injuries during the reporting year. The Recordable Incident Rate was calculated dividing the number of recordable incidents during the reporting year by the total estimated number of worker: construction sites during the reporting year. We have estimated that the total number of workers on our projects at any given time were to be on average workers for smaller projects, and on average 195 for larger projects. This equals an injury rate of 0.73%. Vertically the projects of the projects | |
| Explain the injury rate calculation method (maximum 250 words) Ventas's development partners (primarily Atria Senior Living, Pacific Medical Buildings, Sunrise, and Wexford Science + Technology) are required to con OSHA and follow the OSHA Recordable Incident Rate methodology. To our knowledge, there were ten recordable injuries during the reporting year. The Recordable Incident Rate was calculated dividing the number of recordable incidents during the reporting year by the total estimated number of workers construction sites during the reporting year. We have estimated that the total number of workers on our projects at any given time were to be on average workers for smaller projects, and on average 195 for larger projects. This equals an injury rate of 0.73%. Verantities O Verantities O Lost day rate O.0003 Severity rate Other metrics | |
| Ventas's development partners (primarily Atria Senior Living, Pacific Medical Buildings, Sunrise, and Wexford Science + Technology) are required to com OSHA and follow the OSHA Recordable Incident Rate methodology. To our knowledge, there were ten recordable injuries during the reporting year. The Recordable Incident Rate was calculated dividing the number of recordable incidents during the reporting year by the total estimated number of workers construction sites during the reporting year. We have estimated that the total number of workers on our projects at any given time were to be on average workers for smaller projects, and on average 195 for larger projects. This equals an injury rate of 0.73%. Fatalities O Lost day rate 0.0003 Severity rate Other metrics | |
| OSHA and follow the OSHA Recordable Incident Rate methodology. To our knowledge, there were ten recordable injuries during the reporting year. The Recordable Incident Rate was calculated dividing the number of recordable incidents during the reporting year by the total estimated number of workers construction sites during the reporting year. We have estimated that the total number of workers on our projects at any given time were to be on average workers for smaller projects, and on average 195 for larger projects. This equals an injury rate of 0.73%. Fatalities O Near misses O Lost day rate 0.0003 Severity rate Other metrics | |
| ○ Near misses ○ Lost day rate ○ 0.0003 Severity rate Other metrics | on |
| Use the contract of the contra | |
| 0.0003 Severity rate Other metrics | |
| Other metrics | |
| O No | |
| | |
| DEV - STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT Supply Chain | |
| DSE3.1 | 1 2020 |
| Contractor ESG requirements | |
| Does the entity have ESG requirements in place for its contractors? | |
| O Yes | |
| Select all topics included (multiple answers possible) | |
| ✓ Business ethics ✓ Child labor Community engagement ✓ Environmental process standards ✓ Environmental product standards ✓ Health and well-being ✓ Human rights ✓ Human health-based product standards ✓ Occupational safety | |

Housing affordability

| DS | © Max. score 2 ✓ Pre-filled from 2020 |
|------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Cont | ractor monitoring methods |
| Does | the entity monitor its contractors' compliance with its ESG-specific requirements in place for this entity? |
| 0 | Yes |
| | Select all methods used (multiple answers possible) |
| | Contractor ESG training Contractors provide update reports on environmental and social aspects during construction External audits by third party Internal audits Percentage of projects audited during the reporting year |
| | Weekly/monthly (on-site) meetings and/or ad hoc site visits Percentage of projects visited during the reporting year |
| | Other |
| _ | No Not applicable |
| | - STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT mmunity Impact and Engagement |
| DS | E4 O Max. score 2 |
| Com | munity engagement program |
| Does | s the entity have a community engagement program through its development projects in place that includes ESG-specific issues? |
| 0 | Yes |
| | Select all topics included (multiple answers possible) |
| | ✓ Community health and well-being ■ Effective communication and process to address community concerns ■ Employment creation in local communities ■ Enhancement programs for public spaces ✓ ESG education program ■ Research and network activities ■ Resilience, including assistance or support in case of disaster ✓ Supporting charities and community groups ■ Other Describe the community engagement program (maximum 250 words) |
| | Community health and well-being: Ventas demonstrates our commitment to sustainable buildings and development through our LEED® and ENERGY STAR® certifications. LEED is an internationally recognized green building certification system. LEED buildings are designed to use less water and energy, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and improve occupant and community wellbeing. |
| | ESG education: Ventas has several goals to help ensure responsible investment, including to communicate to all development partners the importance of investigating and pricing LEED or other sustainability certification for all new projects, through discussions with C-suite leadership by 2020. |
| | Supporting charities and community groups: We believe that Ventas has a responsibility to actively engage in improving the lives of people in the communities where we operate and where our employees live. Each year, we donate approximately \$1 million to non-profit organizations that inspire us. We also encourage our employees to give back to their communities, and many of our team are deeply involved in a wide variety of organizations at both the local and national level. |
| 0 | No . |
| DS | E5.1 |
| Com | munity impact assessment |
| Does | the entity assess the potential long-term socio-economic impact of its development projects on the community as part of planning and pre-construction? |
| 0 | Yes |
| | Select the areas of impact that are assessed (multiple answers possible) |

| \checkmark | Impact on crime levels |
|--------------|-----------------------------|
| \checkmark | Livability score |
| \checkmark | Local income generated |
| | Local job creation |
| \checkmark | Local residents' well-being |
| ~ | Walkability score |
| | Other |

O No

DSE5.2

Max. score 2

Community impact monitoring

Does the entity have a systematic process to monitor the impact of development projects on the local community during different stages of the project?

Yes

The entity's process includes (multiple answers possible)

- Analysis and interpretation of monitoring data
- Development and implementation of a communication plan
- Development and implementation of a community monitoring plan
- Development and implementation of a risk mitigation plan
- \checkmark Identification of nuisance and/or disruption risks
- Identification of stakeholders and impacted groups
- Management practices to ensure accountability for performance goals and issues identified during community monitoring

Other

Describe the monitoring process (maximum 250 words)

Local communities are engaged throughout the development process to ensure issues are addressed and needs are met. Impact assessments are conducted as needed or required to understand the impacts on local communities, and mitigation plans are developed if necessary. Ventas and its development partners strive to minimize nuisances and disruptions from construction sites, and notify local residents of any unavoidable nuisances or disruptions. Communication is through press releases, regular fliers and posters with address and phone numbers for the site and contact information, as well as a central registry at city hall for the community to contact with questions.

Provide applicable evidence

LEED v4 BDC 07.25.19 current.pdf
Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

Ventas has set a goal to achieve LEED Silver certification or better on 100% of our publicly stated Research & Innovation development pipeline (in place during the reporting period). See throughout, namely SS credit 2 and SS credit 5.1;

Show investors

uCity Square Quarterly CAG 5.19.2021 FINAL_Redacted.pdf Indicate where in the evidence the relevant information can be found

Development and implementation of a communication plan, community monitoring plan, analysis and interpretation of monitoring data, and management practices to ensure accountability - In conjunction with our development partners, we report on a quarterly basis to local community advisory groups on project updates and community impact metrics including diverse/local/inclusive initiatives and progress towards employment and contractor goals, and other site activity such as art installations, food drives and community events. The presentation attached is a sample report from a development site in Philadelphia. Please note that while this report is dated 2021, similar meetings occurred throughout the reporting period.

Show investors

O No

NAREIT LEADER IN THE LIGHT

Nareit Leader in the Light

As it relates to your ESG program efforts over the past 12 months, describe your most meaningful accomplishments in each of the following areas (maximum 400 words per section)

Judges will consider:

- Your company's goals pertaining to ESG and how do they address some of our most pressing environmental and social challenges
- Impact your company's ESG program has had over the past year and any KPIs or metrics used to measure success
- · How your company has scaled or shared your ESG strategy or a specific initiative to create broader impact
- How have you company's ESG activities demonstrated leadership, either within your sector, the industry, or corporate sustainability at large?
- How has your company implemented innovative ESG program(s), technology(ies) or initiative(s) that is(are) impactful and scalable?

Q1

Goal setting and progress made in reporting year (12-month period addressedin GRESB Assessment) (10 points)

Consider addressing one or more of the following:

- Science-Based Targets
- Sustainable Development Goals
- Any other publicly disclosed ESG goals
- Address progress made under each goal
- Other priorities relevant to your organization's ESG efforts

To ensure measurable progress against our ESG priorities (as determined by our 2019 ESG prioritization assessment), Ventas sets quantitative goals in each area and publicly discloses progress in our annual Corporate Sustainability Report and on our website. Goal examples include: Maintain employee engagement in the top half peer benchmark (achieved in 2020); Achieve 25% female representation among Ventas Leadership by 2023 (+3pp to 23% in 2020); Achieve LEED® Silver certification or better on 100% of our announced Research & Innovation development pipeline (achieved in 2020); Derive less than 10% of annual NOI from properties in high flood risk areas (3% of NOI in 2020).

As we make progress against goals and as world events and other macroeconomic factors influence our priorities, we continually review and refresh our goals via our ESG Steering Committee, which is led by our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. Debra A. Cafaro, and overseen by our Director of Sustainability.

The racial awakening of 2020 sharply focused the need for all companies to do more, faster, to address inequities across our industry and communities at large. In addition to meaningful actions we took in 2020, such as hosting a charitable foundation competition focused on racial justice and making a four-year, \$100,000 commitment to the Real Estate Executive Council's ("REEC") Diversity Initiative, we set a goal to develop a company Diversity, Equity & Inclusion (DE&I) Framework, in order to integrate DE&I across our operations and ensure long-term focus on this topic. We created a cross-functional DE&I committee and steering committee (led by our CEO), and are developing goals to guide our actions and measure progress. In addition, in 2020, Ventas led the industry in incorporating a quantitative gender balance metric in our long-term incentive plan for the executive leadership team, to ensure focus and dedication to gender balance within our workforce. This factor is included in performance-based restricted stock units for executive compensation and represents 6.9% of the total long-term incentive compensation for our CEO (6% for other Named Executive Officers).

We also accelerated our commitments to reduce our environmental impact and aligned our goals with climate science. We set new, 10-year goals to reduce our emissions (-25%), energy (-20%), water (-20%) and waste (recycling at 100% of properties). Our emissions goal was approved by the Science Based Targets initiative (well-below 2°C). We are now evaluating net zero carbon and expect to set a future goal around this.

Q2

Reporting and Disclosure (10 points)

Consider addressing one or more of the following:

- Discuss disclosure strategies such as stand-alone CR report, sustainability webpage, financial reporting, and alignment and participation in ESG standards, guidelines, frameworks, etc.
- Other priorities relevant to your organization's ESG efforts

Since GRESB introduced the Public Disclosure Assessment in 2017, Ventas has achieved the highest grade of A each year, and has increased its score every year, earning a 97 out of 100 points in 2020 and ranking #1 of 10 respondents in the U.S. Healthcare group.

In addition to publishing our annual Corporate Sustainability Report in accordance with the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) standards, we have aligned our disclosures on climate change risks and opportunities with the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) framework. Further, we publish our annual GRESB and CDP submissions on our website.

We also engage in the best practice of obtaining third-party assurance of our ESG data. Beginning in 2018, we assured several social indicators (employee engagement survey results, workforce gender statistics, the value of our health benefits, and our lost time incident rate), and in 2019 we expanded this to our environmental data, including energy, emissions, water and waste.

In 2020, we improved our Proxy filing to expand on our ESG priorities and progress, and also enhanced our disclosures on our Board of Directors, including detailed biographies, disclosure of board composition metrics (gender, ethnicity, age, tenure, independence) and a director skills and attributes matrix. We also enhanced our disclosure in our Annual Report on Form 10-K to highlight our focus on sustainability and human capital management, particularly in the areas of talent attraction and retention, diversity, equity and inclusion and health and safety.

We also include an ESG page in our quarterly supplemental, which highlights ESG achievements from that quarter. Additionally, we have robust disclosures on the Corporate Responsibility and Governance sections of our website, and also publish all of our governance policies and political spending and campaign contributions.

Q3

Environmental initiatives (10 points)

Consider addressing one or more of the following:

- Renewable energy
- Climate Change strategy
- Energy efficiency
- Water Efficiency
- Waste management
 Green Building certifications
- Green Building certification
- Carbon Reduction
- Environmental management systems
- Other priorities relevant to your organization's environmental efforts

In 2021, we were incredibly proud to be named an ENERGY STAR® Partner of the Year for the first time, for our leading energy management practices. Our energy management approach is aligned with ENERGY STAR® best practices and includes ongoing performance assessment, goal-setting and tracking, creating and setting action plans, commitment to capital investment, and recognizing and communicating achievements.

Energy management starts with the ability to measure performance and since 2013, we have been collecting asset-level monthly energy data for our portfolio. We strive to increase our portfolio data coverage each year, with a goal of 100% coverage. We are currently at 100% coverage for properties within our operating control (~60% of our portfolio), 83% for our total portfolio (including NNN) up from 79% and 74% in 2019 and 2018, respectively.

Armed with energy data, we can identify properties with the greatest opportunities for energy efficiency gains. We work with several partners to implement efficiency measures such as LED lighting and HVAC optimization. In 2020, despite COVID-19, we approved more than \$13 million of energy efficiency projects, with a projected ROI of ~16%. We completed LED lighting upgrades at 74 seniors housing communities in 2020, bringing us to LED lighting in 60% of our 440-community seniors housing operating portfolio (SHOP). By the end of 2022, we hope to achieve 100% LED lighting in SHOP, significantly reducing our portfolio energy and emissions, while also improving lighting for the health, safety and well-being of the residents and staff.

In 2020, for the second consecutive year, Ventas certified 118 seniors housing operators to earn the most seniors housing ENERGY STAR certifications of any owner. Ventas certified 118 seniors housing communities (9.4M sq ft), earning 72% of all U.S. 2020 seniors housing ENERGY STAR certifications and 100% of Canada certifications. Through our leadership, we hope to encourage wider industry participation in ENERGY STAR benchmarking and certifications.

We are also committed to significantly reducing our greenhouse gas emissions. As we work towards our science-based targets, we are looking to ahead to how we can achieve net zero carbon for our company and portfolio. In 2020, we made our first purchase of Renewable Energy Credits to demonstrate our commitment, and as members of the Renewable Energy Buyers Alliance and participants in the Department of Energy Better Buildings Alliance Low Carbon Pilot, are exploring all options to decarbonize our energy consumption.

04

Social initiatives (10 points)

Consider addressing one or more of the following:

- Diversity Equity and Inclusion
- Wellness
- Health & Safety

- Supply Chain
- Stakeholder Engagement (tenants, community, investors, employees, etc.)
- Human capital management
- · Other priorities relevant to your organization's efforts in the area of social responsibility

Over the past year, Ventas has made significant progress toward creating a best-in-class DE&I strategy and operating framework. Our goal is to make tangible progress toward gender and racial equity within our company; with our partners and suppliers: and within our communities.

Although there is much to be done, we are proud of our significant DE&I accomplishments to-date:

We developed a DE&I framework centered around five pillars: people, company culture, investment and financial activities, community engagement, and celebrating our progress. We formed a DE&I Committee headed by our Chairman and CEO to operationalize this framework, engaging a broad cross-section of employees across our enterprise. The committee is examining our current practices, researching best practices, identifying actions and setting measurable goals, and will ultimately implement practices to drive lasting change at Ventas and beyond.

In February 2021 Ventas announced a \$100,000 sponsorship and partnership with the Real Estate Executive Council's ("REEC") Diversity Initiative. REEC is the leading professional trade association promoting the interests of minority executives in commercial real estate. Ventas will work with REEC to operationalize our DE&I strategy around the aforementioned five pillars.

Ventas was included in the Bloomberg Gender-Equality Index for the second consecutive year in 2021, increasing our score to 81% (versus average of 64%), with our strongest scores for female leadership and talent pipeline, pro-women brand and inclusive culture.

Board diversity has been a longstanding priority, and Ventas has maintained at least two female Board members since 2001, including Chairman and CEO Debra A. Cafaro. Our 11-member Board is 46% diverse, including four women (36%) and two Black members (18%).

We care deeply about the health, safety and well-being of our employees and the residents, tenants, caregivers and patients that live in and frequent our buildings. Supporting this priority, in the past year, we:

- -served as a critical resource for information and best practices, leading our industry in providing COVID-19 testing, PPE supplies and financial support to tenants, operators and other partners impacted by COVID-19;
- -swiftly acted to enhance benefits to support employees through the pandemic, including increased mental health resources, additional PTO to care for family members with COVID-19 and enhanced training programs;
- -introduced a monthly "stay healthy, stay strong" employee communications campaign to promote and encourage the use of our benefits program throughout the year;
- -continued our 90% employer premium cost sharing plan for our medical, dental and vision insurance offerings.

Q

ESG Program Governance (10 points)

Consider addressing one or more of the following:

- Board commitment to ESG
- Board sustainability committee
- ESG tied to executive compensation
- Other priorities relevant to your organization's ESG efforts

In 2020, we fully integrated ESG into our investment and divesture processes. Our sustainability team (consisting of a full time Director and Senior Analyst), conducts ESG assessments for all acquisitions and dispositions. The assessment evaluates the transaction and portfolio against our corporate ESG goal areas, including (where applicable): human rights, health & safety, diversity, equity & inclusion, tenant satisfaction, green building certifications, flood zone risk, emergency plans, availability of environmental data (energy, emissions, water, waste), and energy/water/waste efficiency measures. The assessment is included in the materials presented to our investment decision-making. This approach not only aligns our ethics and values with our investment approach, but also supports sound business and financial decisions. For example, determining if basic energy and water efficiency measures (LED lighting, low-flow fixtures, etc.) have been implemented could identify cost savings opportunities to support our underwriting.

We also integrated ESG into our most recent corporate credit facility. The four-year, \$2.75 billion unsecured credit facility includes a reduction in borrowing costs based on achievement of specific sustainability-linked metrics related to green building certifications. Ventas commits to increasing our green building certifications by a minimum of three percentage points, annually, over the term.

These examples of ESG integration across our business are underpinned by strong ESG governance. Our ESG Steering Committee is led by our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Debra A. Cafaro, and overseen by our Director of Sustainability. It provides oversight and monitoring of our ESG strategy and includes cross-functional representation from investments, accounting, finance, legal, and corporate communications. The committee meets quarterly and provides quarterly updates to our Board of Directors, which has ultimate authority over our ESG strategy.

Further, Ventas has an integrated, multi-disciplinary, company-wide risk management process, managed through our Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) Committee. Climate change risks and opportunities are integrated into this process. The Ventas sustainability team coordinate with the ERM Committee and ESG Steering Committee to apprise the company on ESG and climate change matters, including transition risks and opportunities (shift to a lower-carbon economy) and physical risks and opportunities (extreme weather events and long-term shifts in climate patterns).

For the "Leadership Personified" award, nominate individual leaders in Sustainability/ESG within the REIT industry. The nominated individual must be employed by a Nareit corporate member organization(0 points)

| 1st nomination |
|-----------------|
| Debra Cafaro |
| 2nd nomination |
| Kelly Meissner |
| 3rd nomination |
| Sarah Palmisano |

Q7

Optional: Provide any context or commentary about your company and its operations that you would like the judges to know (maximum 250 words; 0 points).

Ventas, Inc., an S&P 500 company, is a healthcare REIT with a highly diversified portfolio located throughout the United States, Canada and the United Kingdom. The Company generates nearly \$2 billion of annual net operating income through its high-quality, diversified portfolio of more than 1,200 seniors housing communities, medical office buildings, life science and innovation centers, hospitals and skilled nursing facilities. With approximately 500 employees, Ventas is headquartered in Chicago, Illinois and also has a corporate office in Louisville, Kentucky.

Ventas has been consistently recognized as an ESG leader in real estate, which reflects our strong ESG practices, disclosures and performance. In 2020 we continued our leadership on many fronts, such as the top performing listed healthcare REIT on GRESB for the fourth consecutive year, and inclusion in the FTSE4G00D sustainability index for the eighth consecutive year. We achieve these results through day-to-day collaboration with our operators and tenants to reduce our portfolio environmental impact, improving the communities in which we operate, protecting the health and safety of our employees, tenants and residents, and our bold commitments and actions such as being the first S&P 500 REIT signatory to the UN Global Compact and the first REIT to join the CEO Action for Diversity & Inclusion.

Our ESG leadership starts with our executive leadership team (three of whom sit on our ESG Steering Committee), and is enabled by the dedication of each of our employees who carry out these principles in their daily work.